arXiv:math/0612818v1 [math.RA] 28 Dec 2006

EXTENDED DISTRIBUTIVE LAW: CO-WREATH OVER CO-RINGS.

L. EL KAOUTIT

Abstract. A basic theory of cowreath or extended distributive laws in the bicategory of unital bimodules, is deciphered. Precisely, we give in terms of tensor product over a scalar base ring, a simplest and equivalent definition for cowreath over coring and for comodule over cowreath. An adjunction connecting the category of comodules over the factor coring and the category of comodules over the coring arising from the cowreath products is also given. The dual notions i.e. wreaths over rings extension and their modules are included.

Introduction

The notion of wreath in bicategory was introduced by S. Lack and R. Street in [13]. The notion of co-wreath is in some sense dual to that of wreath. Explicitly, given a bicategory B (see [2]), using [13] one can construct its (right) Eilenberg-Moore bicategory of comonads denoted by $\mathsf{REM}(\mathsf{B})$. A 0-cell of $\mathsf{REM}(\mathsf{B})$ is a pair (C, A) where A is a 0-cell of B and C is a comonad on A in B. A 1-cell $(P, \mathfrak{p}) : (C, A) \to (D, B)$ consists of a 1-cell $P : A \to B$ and 2-cell $\mathfrak{p} : D \circ P \Rightarrow P \circ C$ in B satisfying two conditions with the counits and comultiplications of the comonads C and D. A 2-cell (in reduced form) $\varphi : (P, \mathfrak{p}) \Rightarrow (Q, \mathfrak{q})$ is a 2-cell $\varphi : D \circ P \Rightarrow Q$ in B satisfying one condition with $\mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{q}$ and the comultiplication of the comonad D. A (right) *cowreath* in B is defined as in [13] to be a comonad in $\mathsf{REM}(\mathsf{B})$. Explicitly, a cowreath consists of a 0-cell A of B, comonad C on A in B, a 1-cell $R : A \to A$, and 2-cells $\mathfrak{r} : C \circ R \Rightarrow R \circ C$, $\xi : C \circ R \Rightarrow \mathbb{I}_A$ and $\delta : C \circ (R \circ R) \Rightarrow R$ satisfying appropriate conditions. Notice that R need not itself be a comonad, but it could be while ξ and δ could be obtained from the counit and comultiplication of R: in this case $\mathfrak{r}$ is called a *distributive law* between the comonad C and R, and due to J. Beck [1].

In this paper we study co-wreath in the bicategory of bimodules Bim (0-cells are unital rings, 1-cells are unital bimodules, 2-cells are bilinear maps). For a given comonad in Bim i.e. coring, we review in Section 1 its Eilenberg-Moore monoidal category using 2-cells in their unreduced form. In Section 2, we give in terms of tensor product over the base ring, a simplest and equivalent definition of co-wreath (Proposition 2.2). If the given coring arises from some an entwining structure [5] we then establish a monoidal functor from the Eilenberg-Moore monoidal category of the factor coalgebra to its Eilenberg-Moore monoidal category. This gives a procedure to construct from the commutative case, an examples of co-wreaths with non commutative base ring (Proposition 2.5). Comodules over co-wreath and their morphisms are studied in Section 3, here again we give an equivalent definitions in terms of tensor product over base ring (Proposition 3.1 and 3.2). The cowreath products is a coring with underlying bimodule a tensor product of a base coring and a cowreath (Proposition 3.3). Various functors and adjunctions are offered in Section 3 (diagram (3.3)). Section 4 contains a analogous results for wreath over rings extension. A typical example of wreath is a distributive law between two rings whose wreath products is known in the literature as twisted tensor product algebra, see [18, 19, 7, 8, 14] (subsection 4.2).

Notations and Basic Notions: Given any Hom-set category $\mathscr{C}$, the notation $X \in \mathscr{A}$ means that X is an object of $\mathscr{C}$. The identity morphism of X will be denoted by X itself. The set of all morphisms $f : X \to X'$ in $\mathscr{C}$, is denoted by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}\Big(X,\, X'\Big)$. We work over a commutative ground ring with 1 denoted by $\mathbb{K}$. All rings are assumed to be associative $\mathbb{K}$–algebras. Modules are unital modules, and bimodules are left and right unital modules and are assumed to be central $\mathbb{K}$–modules. Given A and B two rings, the category of (A, B)-bimodules (left A-modules and right B-modules) is denoted as usual by ${}_{A}\mathscr{M}_{B}$. The $\mathbb{K}$–module morphisms in this category will be denoted by

---

Date: February 2, 2008.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30, 16D20, 16D90.

Key words and phrases. Bimodules. Corings. Comodules. Monoidal categories. Extended distributive law: Wreaths and co-Wreaths.

Research supported by grant MTM2004-01406 from the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia of Spain.

$\mathrm{Hom}_{A-B}\Big(-,-\Big)$. The symbol $-\otimes_A-$ (or sometimes $-\otimes-$ depending on the context) between bimodules and bilinear map denotes the tensor product over A. Let A be a ring an *A-coring* [17] is a three-tuple $(\mathfrak{C},\Delta,\varepsilon)$ consisting of an A-bimodule $\mathfrak{C}$ and two A-bilinear maps

$$\Delta:\mathfrak{C}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A\mathfrak{C}\quad\text{and}\quad\varepsilon:\mathfrak{C}\longrightarrow A,$$

known as the comultiplication and the counit of $\mathfrak{C}$, which satisfy

$$(\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A\Delta)\circ\Delta\;=\;(\Delta\otimes_A\mathfrak{C})\circ\Delta,\quad(\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A\varepsilon)\circ\Delta\;=\;\mathfrak{C}\;=\;(\varepsilon\otimes_A\mathfrak{C})\circ\Delta.$$

A Sweedler's notation for comultiplication will be used i.e. $\Delta(c)\;=\;c_{(1)}\otimes_A c_{(2)}$ (summation is understood), for every $c\in\mathfrak{C}$. We use the notation $(\mathfrak{C}:A)$ for an A–coring $\mathfrak{C}$. An A–bilinear map $\phi:\mathfrak{D}\to\mathfrak{C}$ is a *morphism of an A-corings* if it satisfies $\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}\circ\phi\;=\;\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $(\phi\otimes_A\phi)\circ\Delta_{\mathfrak{D}}\;=\;\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}}\circ\phi$. A *right $\mathfrak{C}$-comodule* is a pair (M,ρ^M) with M a right A-module and $\rho^M:M\to M\otimes_A\mathfrak{C}$ a right A-linear map (called right $\mathfrak{C}$-coaction) satisfying two equalities $(\rho^M\otimes_A\mathfrak{C})\circ\rho^M\;=\;(M\otimes_A\Delta)\circ\rho^M$, and $(M\otimes_A\varepsilon)\circ\rho^M\;=\;M$. The Sweedler's notation for right $\mathfrak{C}$-coactions is as usual: $\rho^M(m)\;=\;m_{(0)}\otimes_A m_{(1)}$ (summation is understood), for every $m\in M$. A *morphism* of right $\mathfrak{C}$-comodules $f:(M,\rho^M)\to(M',\rho^{M'})$ is a right A-linear map $f:M\to M'$ which is compatible with coactions: $f\circ\varrho^{M'}\;=\;(f\otimes_A\mathfrak{C})\circ\varrho^M$ (f is right $\mathfrak{C}$-colinear). The $\mathbb{K}$–module of all colinear maps will be denoted by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}\Big(M,M'\Big)$. We denote by $\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ the category of all right $\mathfrak{C}$-comodules. Left $\mathfrak{C}$-comodules are symmetrically defined, we use the Greek letter λ^- to denote theirs coactions. The category of (right) $\mathfrak{C}$-comodules is not in general an abelian category, its has cokernels and arbitrary direct sums which can be already computed in the category of A-modules. However, if ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ is a flat module, then $\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ becomes a Grothendieck category (see [12, 6]). Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be a B-coring, a $(\mathfrak{C},\mathfrak{D})$-*bicomodule* is a three-tuple (M,ρ^M,λ^M) consisting of an (A,B)-bimodule ($M\in{}_A\mathscr{M}_B$) and $A-B$-bilinear maps $\rho^M:M\to M\otimes_B\mathfrak{D}$ and $\lambda^M:M\to\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M$ such that (M,ρ^M) is right $\mathfrak{D}$-comodule and (M,λ^M) is left $\mathfrak{C}$-comodule with compatibility condition $(\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A\rho^M)\circ\lambda^M\;=\;(\lambda^M\otimes_B\mathfrak{D})\circ\rho^M$. A *morphism of bicomodules* is a left and right colinear map (or *bicolinear map*); we use the notation $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}-\mathfrak{D}}\Big(M,M'\Big)$ for the $\mathbb{K}$–module of all bicolinear maps. The category of all $(\mathfrak{C},\mathfrak{D})$-bicomodule is denoted by ${}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$. Obviously any ring A can be endowed with a trivial structure of an A-coring with comultiplication the isomorphism $A\cong A\otimes_A A$ and counit the identity A. In this way an $(A,\mathfrak{D})$-bicomodule is just a right $\mathfrak{D}$-comodule (M,ρ^M) whose underlying module M is an $A-B$-bimodule and whose coaction ρ^M is an $A-B$-bilinear map. The category of $(A,\mathfrak{D})$–bicomodules is denoted by ${}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$. For more details on comodules, definitions and basic properties of bicomodules and the cotensor product, the reader is referred to monograph [6].

1. Eilenberg-Moore monoidal category associated to a coring.

In all this section the symbole $-\otimes-$ stands by $-\otimes_A-$ the tensor product bi-funtor over a ring A. For a fixed coring $(\mathfrak{C}:A)$ with comultiplication Δ and counit ε. Consider, as in [4] (see [13] for general notions), the pre-additive category $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$ defined by the following data:

- *Objects*: Are pairs $(M,\mathfrak{m})$ consisting of an A-bimodule M and A-bilinear map $\mathfrak{m}:\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\to M\otimes\mathfrak{C}$ such that

$$(M\otimes\Delta)\circ\mathfrak{m}\;=\;(\mathfrak{m}\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\tag{1.1}$$

$$(M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ\mathfrak{m}\;=\;\varepsilon\otimes M,\tag{1.2}$$

where in the second equality M was identified with $A\otimes M$ and with $M\otimes A$ via the obvious isomorphism.

- *Morphisms*: Given any object $(M,\mathfrak{m})$ one can easily check that $\mathfrak{C}\otimes M$ is a $\mathfrak{C}$-bicomodule with left $\mathfrak{C}$-coaction $\lambda^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes M}\;=\;\Delta\otimes M$ and right $\mathfrak{C}$-coaction $\varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes M}\;=\;(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(\Delta\otimes M)$. By [4, Proposition 2.2], the $\mathbb{K}$–module of homomorphisms in $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$ are then defined (in unreduced form) by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}}\Big((M,\mathfrak{m}),\,(M',\mathfrak{m}')\Big)\;:=\;\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}-\mathfrak{C}}\Big(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M,\,\mathfrak{C}\otimes M'\Big).$$

That is a morphism $\varphi : (M, \mathfrak{m}) \to (M', \mathfrak{m}')$ in $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$ is an A-bilinear map $\varphi : \mathfrak{C} \otimes M \to \mathfrak{C} \otimes M'$ which satisfies

$$(\Delta \otimes M') \circ \varphi \;=\; (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \varphi) \circ (\Delta \otimes M) \tag{1.3}$$

$$(\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m}') \circ (\Delta \otimes M') \circ \varphi \;=\; (\varphi \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m}) \circ (\Delta \otimes M). \tag{1.4}$$

Remark 1.1. Let $(\mathfrak{C} : A)$ be any coring and M an A–bimodule. Then one can easily check that the following statements are equivalent.

(i) $\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M$ is a $\mathfrak{C}$-bicomodule with left $\mathfrak{C}$-coaction $\lambda^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes M} = \Delta \otimes M$;
(ii) there is an A-bilinear map $\mathfrak{m} : \mathfrak{C} \otimes M \to M \otimes \mathfrak{C}$ such that $(M, \mathfrak{m})$ is an object of the category $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$.

The category $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$ is in fact a multiplicative additive category (i.e. an additive Monoidal category). Its multiplication is defined as follows.

Proposition 1.2. *For any coring $(\mathfrak{C} : A)$, the category $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$ is a monoidal category with multiplication defined as follows.*

Given two object $(M, \mathfrak{m})$ and $(M', \mathfrak{m}')$ of $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$, we define a new object of $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$

$$(M, \mathfrak{m}) \underset{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}{\otimes} (M', \mathfrak{m}') \;:=\; \Big(M \otimes M', (M \otimes \mathfrak{m}') \circ (\mathfrak{m} \otimes M') \Big)$$

If $\varphi : (M, \mathfrak{m}) \to (M', \mathfrak{m}')$ and $\psi : (N, \mathfrak{n}) \to (N', \mathfrak{n}')$ are morphisms in $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$, then their multiplication is defined by the composition

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes N \arrow[rrr, dashed, "\varphi \underset{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}{\otimes} \psi"] \arrow[d, "\Delta\otimes M\otimes N"'] & & & \mathfrak{C}\otimes M'\otimes N' \\
\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes N \arrow[dr, "\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varphi\otimes N"'] & & & \mathfrak{C}\otimes M'\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes N' \arrow[u, "\mathfrak{C}\otimes M'\otimes\varepsilon\otimes N'"'] \\
& \mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M'\otimes N \arrow[r, "\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}'\otimes N"'] & \mathfrak{C}\otimes M'\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes N \arrow[ur, "\mathfrak{C}\otimes M'\otimes\psi"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Equivalently $\varphi \underset{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}{\otimes} \psi = (\mathfrak{C} \otimes M' \otimes \varepsilon \otimes N) \circ (\varphi \otimes \psi) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes N) \circ (\Delta \otimes M \otimes N)$*. The identity object for this multiplication is proportioned by the pair $(A, \mathfrak{C})$ where $\mathfrak{C}$ was identified with $\mathfrak{C} \otimes A \cong A \otimes \mathfrak{C}$.*

Proof. It is clearly seen that $(M, \mathfrak{m}) \underset{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}{\otimes} (M', \mathfrak{m}')$ belongs to $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$, whenever $(M, \mathfrak{m})$ and $(M', \mathfrak{m}')$ are objects of $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$. By definition $\varphi \underset{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}{\otimes} \psi$ is an A-bilinear map. So we need to check its compatibility with left and right $\mathfrak{C}$-coactions of both $\mathfrak{C}$–bicomodules $\mathfrak{C} \otimes M \otimes N$ and $\mathfrak{C} \otimes M' \otimes N'$. We know that $\varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes M'\otimes N'} = (\mathfrak{C} \otimes M' \otimes \mathfrak{n}') \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m}' \otimes N') \circ (\Delta \otimes M' \otimes N')$. Applying equation (1.1) to $\mathfrak{m}'$ and (1.3) to both φ and ψ, we get

$$\varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes M'\otimes N'} \circ (\varphi \underset{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}{\otimes} \psi) = (\mathfrak{C} \otimes M' \otimes \mathfrak{n}') \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes M' \otimes \psi) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m}' \otimes N) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \varphi \otimes N) \circ (\Delta \otimes M \otimes N)$$

Analogously, we obtain

$$\Big((\varphi \underset{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}{\otimes} \psi) \otimes \mathfrak{C} \Big) \circ \varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes N} = (\mathfrak{C} \otimes M' \otimes \mathfrak{n}') \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes M' \otimes \psi) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m}' \otimes N) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \varphi \otimes N) \circ (\Delta \otimes M \otimes N)$$

Therefore, $\varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes M'\otimes N'} \circ (\varphi \underset{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}{\otimes} \psi) = ((\varphi \underset{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}{\otimes} \psi) \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ \varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes N}$. That is $(\varphi \underset{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}{\otimes} \psi)$ is right $\mathfrak{C}$-colinear. This map is clearly left $\mathfrak{C}$–colinear. ☐

Example 1.3. Let $(\mathfrak{C} : A)$ be any coring. Define the map

$$\mathfrak{c} : \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \mathfrak{C} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \mathfrak{C} \qquad \Big(c \otimes c' \longmapsto\; c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}\varepsilon(c') + \varepsilon(c)c'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(2)} - c \otimes c' \Big).$$

Then $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{c})$ is an object of $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$. By definition $\mathfrak{c}$ is an A–bilinear map. It is clear that $(\mathfrak{C} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \mathfrak{c} = \varepsilon \otimes \mathfrak{C}$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{c} \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{c}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \mathfrak{C})(c \otimes c') \;&=\; (\mathfrak{c} \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{c})(c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c') \\
&= \mathfrak{c} \otimes \mathfrak{C}\left(c_{(1)} \otimes \left(c_{(2)} \otimes c_{(3)}\varepsilon(c') + \varepsilon(c_{(2)})c'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(2)} - c_{(2)} \otimes c'\right)\right) \\
&= \mathfrak{c} \otimes \mathfrak{C}\left(c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c_{(3)}\varepsilon(c') + c \otimes c'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(2)} - c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c'\right) \\
&= c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}\varepsilon(c_{(3)}) \otimes c_{(4)}\varepsilon(c') + \varepsilon(c_{(1)})c_{(2)} \otimes c_{(3)} \otimes c_{(4)}\varepsilon(c') - c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c_{(3)}\varepsilon(c') + c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}\varepsilon(c'_{(1)}) \otimes c'_{(2)} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon(c)c'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(2)} \otimes c'_{(3)} - c \otimes c'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(2)} - c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}\varepsilon(c_{(3)}) \otimes c' - \varepsilon(c_{(1)})c_{(2)} \otimes c_{(3)} \otimes c' + c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c' \\
&= c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c_{(3)}\varepsilon(c') + c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c_{(3)}\varepsilon(c') - c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c_{(3)}\varepsilon(c') + c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c' + \varepsilon(c)c'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(2)} \otimes c'_{(3)} \\
&\quad - c \otimes c'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(2)} - c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c' - c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c' + c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c' \\
&= c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c_{(3)}\varepsilon(c') + \varepsilon(c)c'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(2)} \otimes c'_{(3)} - c \otimes c'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(2)} \;=\; (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \Delta) \circ \mathfrak{c}(c \otimes c'),
\end{aligned}$$

for every pair $(c, c') \in \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}$. Therefore $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{c})$ satisfies equalities (1.1) and (1.2), and so $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{c})$ is an object of $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$ (this is dual to [16, proposition 1.7]).

Given $(\mathfrak{D} : A)$ another coring and suppose that there is an A-coring morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \to \mathfrak{C}$. Define the map

$$\mathfrak{d} : \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \mathfrak{D} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D} \otimes_A \mathfrak{C} \qquad \left(c \otimes d \longmapsto \varepsilon(c)d_{(1)} \otimes \phi(d_{(2)})\right).$$

It is clear that $\mathfrak{d}$ is an A-bilinear, and that $(\mathfrak{D} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \mathfrak{d} = \varepsilon \otimes \mathfrak{D}$. Furthermore, for every pair of elements $(c, d) \in \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{D}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{d} \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{d}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \mathfrak{D})(c \otimes d) \;&=\; (\mathfrak{d} \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{d})(c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes d) \\
&= \mathfrak{d} \otimes \mathfrak{C}\left(c_{(1)} \otimes \varepsilon(c_{(2)})d_{(1)} \otimes \phi(d_{(2)})\right) \\
&= \varepsilon(c)d_{(1)} \otimes \phi(d_{(2)}) \otimes \phi(d_{(2)}) \\
&= (\mathfrak{D} \otimes \Delta) \circ \mathfrak{d}(c \otimes d).
\end{aligned}$$

That is $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{d})$ satisfies equalities (1.1) and (1.2), and hence $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{d})$ is an object of $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$. This in fact comes from a general setting. Namely, if we have any (A', A)–corings morphism $(\phi, \varphi) : (\mathfrak{C}' : A') \to (\mathfrak{C} : A)$ in the sense of [10]. That is $\varphi : A' \to A$ is a rings morphism and $\phi : \mathfrak{C}' \to \mathfrak{C}$ is by scalar restriction an A'–bilinear map satisfying $\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ \phi = \varphi \circ \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}'}$ and $\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ \phi = \omega_{A',A} \circ (\phi \otimes_{A'} \phi) \circ \Delta_{\mathfrak{C}'}$, where $\omega_{A',A}$ is the obvious map. Then one can prove that $(A \otimes_{A'} \mathfrak{C}' \otimes_{A'} A, \mathfrak{m})$ is an object of $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$, where the map $\mathfrak{m} : \mathfrak{C} \otimes_{A'} \mathfrak{C}' \otimes_{A'} A \to A \otimes_{A'} \mathfrak{C}' \otimes_{A'} \mathfrak{C}$ sends $c \otimes_{A'} c' \otimes_{A'} a \mapsto \varepsilon(c) \otimes_{A'} c'_{(1)} \otimes_{A'} \phi(c'_{(2)})a$, for every element $a \in A$, $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $c' \in \mathfrak{C}'$.

Recall from [5] that an entwining structure over $\mathbb{K}$ is a three-tuple $(A, C)_{\mathfrak{a}}$ consisting of $\mathbb{K}$–algebra A with multiplication μ and unit 1, $\mathbb{K}$–coalgebra C with comultiplication Δ and counit ε, and a $\mathbb{K}$–module map $\mathfrak{a} : C \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A \to A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} C$ satisfying

$$\mathfrak{a} \circ (C \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mu) = (\mu \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} C) \circ (A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{a}) \circ (\mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A), \tag{1.5}$$

$$\mathfrak{a} \circ (C \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} 1) = 1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} C; \tag{1.6}$$

$$(A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \Delta) \circ \mathfrak{a} = (\mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} C) \circ (C \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{a}) \circ (\Delta \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A), \tag{1.7}$$

$$(A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \varepsilon) \circ \mathfrak{a} = \varepsilon \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A. \tag{1.8}$$

By [3, Proposition 2.2] the corresponding A–coring is the A-bimodule $\mathfrak{C} = A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} C$ with obvious left A–action and its right A–action is given by $(a' \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} c).a = a'\mathfrak{a}(c \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} a)$, for every $a, a' \in A$, $c \in C$. The comultiplication map is $\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}} = A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \Delta$ and its counit is $\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}} = A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \varepsilon$. For instance, assume a $\mathbb{K}$–bialgebra $\mathcal{H}$ is given together with a right $\mathcal{H}$–comodule algebra A and right $\mathcal{H}$–module coalgebra. That is the right coaction $\rho^A : A \to A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathcal{H}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-algebras morphism, while the right action $\centerdot : C \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathcal{H} \to C$ is a $\mathbb{K}$–coalgebra morphism. It is clearly seen that the map $\mathfrak{a} : C \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A \to A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} C$ sending $c \otimes a \mapsto a_{(1)} \otimes (c \centerdot a_{(2)})$ (summation is understood), for every $c \in C$ and $a \in A$, satisfies all equalities (1.5)-(1.8). Thus $(A, C)_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is an entwining structure over $\mathbb{K}$.

Given any entwining structure $(A, C)_{\mathfrak{a}}$ over $\mathbb{K}$, one can immediately check that $(A, \mathfrak{a})$ is an object of $\mathscr{R}_{(C:K)}$. Furthermore, we have

Lemma 1.4. *Let $(A, C)_{\mathfrak{a}}$ be an entwining structure over $\mathbb{K}$. There is a functor $A \otimes - \otimes A : \mathscr{R}_{(C:\mathbb{K})} \to \mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$, defined over objects by $(N, \mathfrak{n}) \to \Big(A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} N \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A,\, (A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} N \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{a}) \circ (A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{n} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A)\Big)$ (up to canonical isomorphisms), and over morphisms by $f \to A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} f \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$*

Proof. Straightforward. ☐

Remark 1.5. Reversing the twist maps, one can construct, for any coring $(\mathfrak{C} : A)$, another monoidal category denoted by $\mathscr{L}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}$. The objects of $\mathscr{L}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}$ are pairs $(\mathfrak{l}, L)$ consisting of an A–bimodule L and A–bilinear map $\mathfrak{l} : L \otimes_A \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A L$ compatible with the comultiplication and the counit, i.e. satisfies the equalities

$$(\varepsilon \otimes L) \circ \mathfrak{l} \;=\; L \otimes \mathfrak{C} \tag{1.9}$$

$$(\Delta \otimes L) \circ \mathfrak{l} \;=\; (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{l}) \circ (\mathfrak{l} \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (L \otimes \Delta). \tag{1.10}$$

Notice, that here the $\mathfrak{C}$–bicomodule structure over $L \otimes \mathfrak{C}$ is given by $\varrho^{L\otimes\mathfrak{C}} = L \otimes \Delta$ and $\lambda^{L\otimes\mathfrak{C}} = (\mathfrak{l} \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (L \otimes \Delta)$. The $\mathbb{K}$–modules of morphisms in this category are defined by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{L}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}}\Big((\mathfrak{l}, L),\, (\mathfrak{l}', L')\Big) \;:=\; \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}-\mathfrak{C}}\Big(L \otimes \mathfrak{C},\, L' \otimes \mathfrak{C}\Big).$$

The multiplications of this monoidal category are defined as follows: Given $\gamma : (\mathfrak{l}, L) \to (\mathfrak{l}', L')$ and $\sigma : (\mathfrak{k}, K) \to (\mathfrak{k}', K')$ two morphisms in $\mathscr{L}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}$, the vertical multiplication is defined by

$$(\mathfrak{l}, L) \underset{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}{\otimes} (\mathfrak{k}, K) \;=\; \Big((\mathfrak{l} \otimes K) \circ (L \otimes \mathfrak{k}),\, L \otimes K\Big)$$

and the vertical multiplication is defined by

$$\gamma \underset{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}{\otimes} \sigma \;=\; (L' \otimes \varepsilon \otimes K' \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\gamma \otimes K' \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (L \otimes \mathfrak{k}' \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (L \otimes \sigma \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (L \otimes K \otimes \Delta). \tag{1.11}$$

2. Equivalent definitions of cowreath in Bim.

In this section we give in terms of the tensor product over the base ring A, an equivalent definition of cowreath over a given coring $(\mathfrak{C} : A)$. If our coring arises from entwining structures [5], we then prove a procedure to construct a new cowreath from a given cowreath over the factor coalgebra.

Definition 2.1. Let $(\mathfrak{C} : A)$ be a coring. A *cowreath over* $(\mathfrak{C} : A)$ (or $\mathfrak{C}$-*cowreath*) is coalgebra in the monoidal additive category $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$ defined in Section 1. A *wreath over* $\mathfrak{C}$ (or $\mathfrak{C}$–*wreath*) is an algebra in $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$. Notice, that here in fact we are defining a *right wreath* and *right cowreath.* The left notions are defined in the monoidal category $\mathscr{L}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:A)}$ of Remark 1.5.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $(\mathfrak{C} : A)$ be a coring, and $(M, \mathfrak{m})$ an object of $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$. The following statements are equivalent*

(i) $(M, \mathfrak{m})$ *is a $\mathfrak{C}$–cowreath.*

(ii) There is a $\mathfrak{C}$–bicolinear maps $\xi : \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M \to \mathfrak{C}$ and $\delta : \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M \to \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M \otimes_A M$ rendering commutative the following diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{C} \otimes M \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[dr, equal] & \mathfrak{C} \otimes M \otimes M \arrow[d, "\xi \otimes M"] \\
 & \mathfrak{C} \otimes M
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{C} \otimes M \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{m}"'] & \mathfrak{C} \otimes M \otimes M \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{m} \otimes M"] \\
M \otimes \mathfrak{C} & M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M \arrow[l, "M \otimes \xi"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{C}\otimes M \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[d, "\delta"'] & \mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M \arrow[r, "\delta\otimes M"] & \mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes M \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M"] \\
\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M \arrow[r, "\mathfrak{m}\otimes M"'] & M\otimes \mathfrak{C}\otimes M \arrow[r, "M\otimes\delta"'] & M\otimes \mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Given a coalgebra $(M,\mathfrak{m})$ in $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:A)}$ is equivalent to given two morphisms $\xi:(M,\mathfrak{m})\to(A,\mathfrak{C})$ and $\delta:(M,\mathfrak{m})\to(M,\mathfrak{m})\underset{(\mathfrak{C}:A)}{\otimes}(M,\mathfrak{m})$ in $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:A)}$ satisfying the usual coassociativity and counitary properties. That is, up to natural isomorphisms, the following equalities are verified

$$\begin{aligned}\Big((M,\,\mathfrak{m})\underset{(\mathfrak{C}:A)}{\otimes}\xi\Big)\circ\delta \;&=\; (M,\mathfrak{m}) \;=\; \Big(\xi\underset{(\mathfrak{C}:A)}{\otimes}(M,\,\mathfrak{m})\Big)\circ\delta\\ \Big((M,\,\mathfrak{m})\underset{(\mathfrak{C}:A)}{\otimes}\delta\Big)\circ\delta \;&=\; \Big(\delta\underset{(\mathfrak{C}:A)}{\otimes}(M,\,\mathfrak{m})\Big)\circ\delta.\end{aligned}$$

Of course $\xi:\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\to\mathfrak{C}$ and $\delta:\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\to\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M$ are two $\mathfrak{C}$–bicolinear maps. Using the definition of the multiplication $-\underset{(\mathfrak{C}:A)}{\otimes}-$ given in Proposition 1.2, the previous equalities are equivalent to the following ones: the counitary properties are

$$(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\xi)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta \;=\; \mathfrak{C}\otimes M \tag{2.1}$$

$$(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\xi\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta \;=\; \mathfrak{C}\otimes M \tag{2.2}$$

and the coassociativity is

$$\begin{aligned}&(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta\\ &\qquad= (\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\delta)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

In conclusion $(M,\mathfrak{m})$ is a coalgebras in $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:A)}$ if and only if there exist ξ and δ satisfying equalities (2.1)-(2.3).

$(i)\Rightarrow(ii)$. By equation (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{C}\otimes M \;&=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\xi\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta\\ &=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ\Big(\Big((\mathfrak{C}\otimes\xi)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\Big)\otimes M\Big)\circ\delta\\ &\overset{(1.3)}{=}\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ\Big(\Big(\Delta\circ\xi\Big)\otimes M\Big)\circ\delta\\ &=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\circ(\xi\otimes M)\circ\delta\\ &=\; (\xi\otimes M)\circ\delta,\end{aligned}$$

which gives the commutativity of the first diagram in (ii). Multiplied on the left by $\mathfrak{m}$, equation (2.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{m} \;&=\; (\mathfrak{m}\otimes A)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\xi)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta\\ &=\; (M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\xi)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta\\ &=\; (M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\xi)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta\\ &=\; (M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\xi)\circ\Big(\Big((\mathfrak{m}\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\Big)\otimes M\Big)\circ\delta\\ &\overset{(1.1)}{=}\; (M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\xi)\circ(M\otimes\Delta\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ\delta\\ &=\; (M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon)\circ\Big(M\otimes\Big((\mathfrak{C}\otimes\xi)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\Big)\Big)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ\delta\\ &\overset{(1.3)}{=}\; (M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(M\otimes\Delta)\circ(M\otimes\xi)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ\delta\\ &=\; (M\otimes\xi)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ\delta.\end{aligned}$$

Whence the commutativity of the second diagram of item (*ii*). Composing equation (2.3) with $(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)$, we obtain from the left hand term

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta\\
&=(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\Big(\Big((\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\Big)\otimes M\Big)\circ\delta\\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=}(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\delta\otimes M)\circ\delta\\
&=(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\delta\otimes M)\circ\delta\\
&=(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\delta\otimes M)\circ\delta\\
&=(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ\Big(\Big((\mathfrak{m}\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\Big)\otimes M\otimes M\Big)\circ(\delta\otimes M)\circ\delta\\
&\overset{(1.1)}{=}(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(M\otimes\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\delta\otimes M)\circ\delta\\
&=\Big(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\Big((M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ\mathfrak{m}\Big)\otimes M\Big)\circ(M\otimes\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\delta\otimes M)\circ\delta\\
&\overset{(1.2)}{=}(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(M\otimes\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\delta\otimes M)\circ\delta\\
&=(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\delta\otimes M)\circ\delta.
\end{aligned}
$$

From the right hand term, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\delta)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta\\
&=(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\delta)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta\\
&=(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta\\
&=(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ\Big(\Big((\mathfrak{m}\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\Big)\otimes M\Big)\circ\delta\\
&\overset{(1.1)}{=}(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(M\otimes\Delta\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ\delta\\
&=(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\Big(M\otimes\Big((\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\Big)\Big)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ\delta\\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=}(M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(M\otimes\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(M\otimes\delta)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ\delta\\
&=(M\otimes\delta)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ\delta.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\delta\otimes M)\circ\delta=(M\otimes\delta)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ\delta$, which gives the commutativity of the last diagram of item (*ii*).

(*ii*) ⇒ (*i*). We need to show that ξ and δ satisfy equations (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3). By hypothesis ξ and δ are $\mathfrak{C}$–colinear maps satisfying

$$(\xi\otimes M)\circ\delta=\mathfrak{C}\otimes M \tag{2.4}$$

$$(M\otimes\xi)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ\delta=\mathfrak{m} \tag{2.5}$$

$$(M\otimes\delta)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ\delta=(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\delta\otimes M)\circ\delta. \tag{2.6}$$

We then compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\xi)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta\\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=}(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\xi)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\
&=(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ\Big(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\Big((M\otimes\xi)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ\delta\Big)\Big)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\
&\overset{(2.5)}{=}(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\overset{(1.2)}{=}(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)=\mathfrak{C}\otimes M,
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives equality (2.1). The equality (2.2) is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\xi\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta &\overset{(1.3)}{=} (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\xi\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\ &= (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ\Big(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\Big((\xi\otimes M)\circ\delta\Big)\Big)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\ &\overset{(2.4)}{=} (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M) \;=\; \mathfrak{C}\otimes M\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, the coassociativity that is equality (2.3) is derived from the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned}&(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta\\ &\overset{(1.3)}{=} (\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\ &= (\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ\Big(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\Big((\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\delta\otimes M)\circ\delta\Big)\Big)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\ &\overset{(2.6)}{=} (\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\delta)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\ &\overset{(1.3)}{=} (\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\delta)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta\\ &= \Big(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\Big((M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ\mathfrak{m}\Big)\otimes M\Big)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\delta)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta\\ &\overset{(1.2)}{=} (\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\delta)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta.\end{aligned}$$

□

Example 2.3. Of course any A-coring $\mathfrak{C}$ can be seen as a cowreath over the trivial coring $(A : A)$.
Let C and D two $\mathbb{K}$-coalgebras. It is clear that (D,τ) belongs to $\mathscr{R}_{(C:\,\mathbb{K})}$, where $\tau : C\otimes_{\mathbb{K}} D\to D\otimes_{\mathbb{K}} C$ is the usual flip. Consider the maps $\xi = C\otimes\varepsilon_D : C\otimes_{\mathbb{K}} D\to C$ and $\delta = C\otimes\Delta_D : C\otimes_{\mathbb{K}} D\to C\otimes_{\mathbb{K}} D\otimes_{\mathbb{K}} D$. One can easily prove that those maps define in fact a morphisms in the category $\mathscr{R}_{(C:\,\mathbb{K})}$; that is $\xi : (D,\tau)\to(\mathbb{K}, C)$ and $\delta : (D,\tau)\to(D,\tau)\underset{(C:\,\mathbb{K})}{\otimes}(D,\tau)$. Moreover, ξ and δ respect the commutativity of the diagrams stated in Proposition 2.2(ii) with $(\mathfrak{C} : A) = (C : \mathbb{K})$. Whence, (D,τ) is a C–cowreath.

Example 2.4. Let $(\mathfrak{C} : A)$ and $(\mathfrak{D} : A)$ two corings. Assume that there is an A-bilinear map $\mathfrak{d} : \mathfrak{C}\otimes_A\mathfrak{D}\to\mathfrak{D}\otimes_A\mathfrak{C}$ satisfying

$$(\mathfrak{D}\otimes\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}})\circ\mathfrak{d} \;=\; \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}\otimes\mathfrak{D} \tag{2.7}$$

$$(\mathfrak{D}\otimes\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}})\circ\mathfrak{d} \;=\; (\mathfrak{d}\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{D})\circ(\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}}\otimes\mathfrak{D}) \tag{2.8}$$

$$(\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{D}}\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ\mathfrak{d} \;=\; \mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{D}} \tag{2.9}$$

$$(\Delta_{\mathfrak{D}}\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ\mathfrak{D} \;=\; (\mathfrak{D}\otimes\mathfrak{D})\circ(\mathfrak{d}\otimes\mathfrak{D})\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\Delta_{\mathfrak{D}}). \tag{2.10}$$

Equations (2.7) and (2.8) say that $(\mathfrak{D},\mathfrak{d})$ is an object of the category $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}$. While equations (2.9) and (2.9) say that $(\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{C})$ is an object of the category $\mathscr{L}_{(\mathfrak{D}:\,A)}$ of Remark 1.5. One can check that $(\mathfrak{D},\mathfrak{d})$ is a right $\mathfrak{C}$-cowreath with structure maps $\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $\mathfrak{C}\otimes\Delta_{\mathfrak{D}}$, and similarly $(\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{C})$ is a left $\mathfrak{D}$-cowreath with structure maps $\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}\otimes\mathfrak{D}$ and $\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}}\otimes\mathfrak{D}$.

The following proposition gives, using an entwining structures, a method to construct a cowreath from the commutative case to the non commutative one. This proposition can be deduced from Lemma 1.4 if we success to show that the functor occurring there is monoidal. We prefer here to include a direct proof without recalling more general theory.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $(A,C)_{\mathfrak{a}}$ be an entwining structure over $\mathbb{K}$ with $\mathfrak{a} : C\otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A\to A\otimes_{\mathbb{K}} C$. Consider its associated coring $(A\otimes_{\mathbb{K}} C : A)$. If $(N,\mathfrak{n})$ is a C–cowreath, then $(A\otimes_{\mathbb{K}} N\otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A, (A\otimes_{\mathbb{K}} N\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathfrak{a})\circ(A\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}\mathfrak{n}\otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A))$ is an $(A\otimes_{\mathbb{K}} C)$–cowreath.*

Proof. During this proof the tensor product $-\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}-$ will be denoted by $-\otimes-$. Set $\mathfrak{C} := A\otimes C$, $M := A\otimes N\otimes A$, and $\mathfrak{m} := (A\otimes N\otimes\mathfrak{a})\circ(A\otimes\mathfrak{n}\otimes A)$. By Lemma 1.4, the pair $(M,\mathfrak{m})$ belongs to $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}$. Let us denote by $\xi : C\otimes N\to C$

and $\delta : C \otimes N \to C \otimes N \otimes N$ the structure of the C-cowreath $(N, \mathfrak{n})$. Define the following A-bilinear maps

$$\widetilde{\xi} : \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{A\otimes\xi\otimes A} A \otimes C \otimes A \xrightarrow{A\otimes\mathfrak{a}} A \otimes A \otimes C \xrightarrow{\mu\otimes C} A \otimes C = \mathfrak{C}$$

$$\widetilde{\delta} : \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{A\otimes\delta\otimes A} A \otimes C \otimes N \otimes N \otimes A \xrightarrow{A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes 1\otimes N\otimes A} \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M \otimes_A M\ ,$$

where we have used the isomorphisms $\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M \cong A \otimes C \otimes N \otimes A$ and $\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M \otimes_A M \cong A \otimes C \otimes N \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A$. We claim that $(M, \mathfrak{m})$ is a $\mathfrak{C}$–cowreath with structure maps $\widetilde{\xi}$ and $\widetilde{\delta}$. First, we need to show that those maps are $\mathfrak{C}$–bicolinear. Starting with $\widetilde{\xi}$, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \widetilde{\xi}) \circ (\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_A M) \;=\; &\Big((A \otimes C) \otimes_A (\mu \otimes C)\Big) \circ \Big((A \otimes C) \otimes_A (A \otimes \mathfrak{a})\Big) \circ \Big((A \otimes C) \otimes_A (A \otimes \xi \otimes A)\Big) \\ &\circ \Big((A \otimes \Delta) \otimes_A (A \otimes N \otimes A)\Big)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Since the the right A–action on $A \otimes C$ is given by the twist map $\mathfrak{a}$, the term $(A \otimes C) \otimes_A (\mu \otimes C)$ is identified with $(\mu \otimes C \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{a} \otimes C)$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \widetilde{\xi}) \circ (\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_A M) \;&=\; (\mu \otimes C \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{a} \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes C \otimes \mathfrak{a}) \circ (A \otimes C \otimes \xi \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \Delta \otimes N \otimes A) \\ &=\; (\mu \otimes C \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{a} \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes C \otimes \mathfrak{a}) \circ \Big(A \otimes \Big((C \otimes \xi) \circ (\Delta \otimes N)\Big) \otimes A\Big) \\ &\overset{(1.3)}{=}\; (\mu \otimes C \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{a} \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes C \otimes \mathfrak{a}) \circ (A \otimes \Delta \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \xi \otimes A) \\ &=\; (\mu \otimes C \otimes C) \circ \Big(A \otimes \Big((\mathfrak{a} \otimes C) \circ (C \otimes \mathfrak{a}) \circ (\Delta \otimes A)\Big)\Big) \circ (A \otimes \xi \otimes A) \\ &\overset{(1.7)}{=}\; (\mu \otimes C \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes A \otimes \Delta) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{a}) \circ (A \otimes \xi \otimes A) \\ &=\; (A \otimes \Delta) \circ (\mu \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{a}) \circ (A \otimes \xi \otimes A) \;=\; \Delta_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ \widetilde{\xi}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\widetilde{\xi}$ is left $\mathfrak{C}$–colinear. Its right $\mathfrak{C}$–colinearity is obtained as follows: We know that

$$\begin{aligned}(\widetilde{\xi} \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \mathfrak{m}) \circ (\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_A M) \;=\; &\Big((\mu \otimes C) \otimes_A (A \otimes C)\Big) \circ \Big((A \otimes \mathfrak{a}) \otimes_A (A \otimes C)\Big) \circ \Big((A \otimes \xi \otimes A) \otimes_A (A \otimes C)\Big) \\ \circ \Big((A \otimes C) \otimes_A (A \otimes N \otimes \mathfrak{a})\Big) \circ &\Big((A \otimes C) \otimes_A (A \otimes \mathfrak{n} \otimes A)\Big) \circ \Big((A \otimes \Delta) \otimes_A (A \otimes N \otimes A\Big)\,.\end{aligned}$$

So using the obvious isomorphisms, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}(\widetilde{\xi} \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \mathfrak{m}) \circ (\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_A M) \;&=\; (\mu \otimes C \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{a} \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes \xi \otimes A \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes C \otimes N \otimes \mathfrak{a}) \\ &\qquad \circ (A \otimes C \otimes \mathfrak{n} \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \Delta \otimes N \otimes A) \\ &=\; (\mu \otimes C \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{a} \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes C \otimes \mathfrak{a}) \circ (A \otimes \xi \otimes C \otimes A) \\ &\qquad \circ (A \otimes C \otimes \mathfrak{n} \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \Delta \otimes N \otimes A) \\ &\overset{(1.4)}{=}\; (\mu \otimes C \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{a} \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes C \otimes \mathfrak{a}) \circ (A \otimes \Delta \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \xi \otimes A) \\ &=\; (\mu \otimes C \otimes C) \circ \Big(A \otimes \Big((\mathfrak{a} \otimes C) \circ (C \otimes \mathfrak{a}) \circ (\Delta \otimes A)\Big)\Big) \circ (A \otimes \xi \otimes A) \\ &\overset{(1.7)}{=}\; (\mu \otimes C \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes A \otimes \Delta) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{a}) \circ (A \otimes \xi \otimes A) \\ &=\; (A \otimes \Delta) \circ (\mu \otimes C) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{a}) \circ (A \otimes \xi \otimes A) \;=\; \Delta_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ \widetilde{\xi}.\end{aligned}$$

Concerning the colinearity of $\widetilde{\delta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \widetilde{\delta}) \circ (\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_A M) \;&=\; \Big((A \otimes C) \otimes_A (A \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A)\Big) \circ \Big((A \otimes C) \otimes_A (A \otimes \delta \otimes A)\Big) \\ &\qquad \circ \Big((A \otimes \Delta) \otimes_A (A \otimes N \otimes A)\Big) \\ &=\; (A \otimes C \otimes C \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes C \otimes \delta \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \Delta \otimes N \otimes A) \\ &=\; (A \otimes C \otimes C \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \circ \Big(A \otimes \Big((C \otimes \delta) \circ (\Delta \otimes N)\Big) \otimes A\Big) \\ &\overset{(1.3)}{=}\; (A \otimes C \otimes C \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \Delta \otimes N \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes A) \\ &=\; (A \otimes \Delta \otimes N \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes C \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes A) \\ &=\; (\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_A M \otimes_A M) \circ \widetilde{\delta},\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\widetilde{\delta}$ is left $\mathfrak{C}$–colinear. $\widetilde{\delta}$ is right $\mathfrak{C}$–colinear by the following computations: First we know that

$$\begin{aligned}(\widetilde{\delta}\otimes_A\mathfrak{C})\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A\mathfrak{m})\circ(\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}}\otimes_A M) \,=\, & \Big((A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes 1\otimes N\otimes A)\otimes_A(A\otimes C)\Big)\circ\Big((A\otimes\delta\otimes A)\otimes_A(A\otimes C)\Big)\\ & \circ\Big((A\otimes C)\otimes_A(A\otimes N\otimes\mathfrak{a})\Big)\circ\Big((A\otimes C)\otimes_A(A\otimes\mathfrak{n}\otimes A)\Big)\circ\Big((A\otimes\Delta)\otimes_A(A\otimes N\otimes A)\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Using as before the obvious isomorphisms, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}(\widetilde{\delta}\otimes_A\mathfrak{C})\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A\mathfrak{m})\circ(\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}}\otimes_A M) \,=\, & (A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes 1\otimes N\otimes A\otimes C)\circ(A\otimes\delta\otimes A\otimes C)\circ(A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes\mathfrak{a})\\ & \circ\,(A\otimes C\otimes\mathfrak{n}\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes\Delta\otimes N\otimes A)\\ =\, & (A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes 1\otimes N\otimes A\otimes C)\circ(A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes N\otimes\mathfrak{a})\circ(A\otimes\delta\otimes C\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes C\otimes\mathfrak{n}\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes\Delta\otimes N\otimes A)\\ =\, & (A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes 1\otimes N\otimes A\otimes C)\circ(A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes N\otimes\mathfrak{a})\circ\Big(A\otimes\Big((\delta\otimes C)\circ(C\otimes\mathfrak{n})\circ(\Delta\otimes N)\Big)\otimes A\Big)\\ \overset{(1.4)}{=}\, & (A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes 1\otimes N\otimes A\otimes C)\circ(A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes N\otimes\mathfrak{a})\circ(A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes\mathfrak{n}\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes C\otimes\mathfrak{n}\otimes N\otimes A)\\ & \circ(A\otimes\Delta\otimes N\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes\delta\otimes A)\\ =\, & (A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes A\otimes N\otimes\mathfrak{a})\circ(A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes 1\otimes N\otimes C\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes\mathfrak{n}\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes C\otimes\mathfrak{n}\otimes N\otimes A)\\ & \circ(A\otimes\Delta\otimes N\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes\delta\otimes A)\\ =\, & (A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes A\otimes N\otimes\mathfrak{a})\circ(A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes A\otimes\mathfrak{n}\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes 1\otimes C\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes C\otimes\mathfrak{n}\otimes N\otimes A)\\ & \circ(A\otimes\Delta\otimes N\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes\delta\otimes A),\end{aligned}$$

by equation (1.6), we then get

$$(\widetilde{\delta}\otimes_A\mathfrak{C})\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A\mathfrak{m})\circ(\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}}\otimes_A M) \,=\, (\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M\otimes_A\mathfrak{m})\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A\mathfrak{m}\otimes_A M)\circ(\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}}\otimes_A M\otimes_A M)\circ\widetilde{\delta}.$$

To finish the proof we need to check that $\widetilde{\xi}$ and $\widetilde{\delta}$ satisfy the commutativity of the sated diagrams in Proposition 2.2(ii). By assumptions, we know that ξ and δ satisfy the same property with base coring the coalgebra $(C:\mathbb{K})$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\widetilde{\xi}\otimes_A M)\circ\widetilde{\delta} \,=\, & \Big(\Big((\mu\otimes C)\circ(A\otimes\mathfrak{a})\circ(A\otimes\xi\otimes A)\Big)\otimes_A(A\otimes N\otimes A)\Big)\circ(A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes 1\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes\delta\otimes A)\\ =\, & (\mu\otimes C\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes\mathfrak{a}\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes\xi\otimes A\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes 1\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes\delta\otimes A)\\ =\, & (\mu\otimes C\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes\mathfrak{a}\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes C\otimes 1\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes\xi\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes\delta\otimes A)\\ \overset{(1.6)}{=}\, & (\mu\otimes C\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes 1\otimes C\otimes N\otimes A) \,=\, A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes A \,=\, \mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(M\otimes_A\widetilde{\xi})\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes_A M)\circ\widetilde{\delta} \,=\, & \Big((A\otimes N\otimes A)\otimes_A\Big((\mu\otimes C)\circ(A\otimes\mathfrak{a})\circ(A\otimes\xi\otimes A)\Big)\Big)\\ & \circ\Big(\Big((A\otimes N\otimes\mathfrak{a})\circ(A\otimes\mathfrak{n}\otimes A)\Big)\otimes_A(A\otimes N\otimes A)\Big)\circ(A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes 1\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes\delta\otimes A)\\ =\, & (A\otimes N\otimes\mu\otimes C)\circ(A\otimes N\otimes A\otimes\mathfrak{a})\circ(A\otimes N\otimes A\otimes\xi\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes N\otimes\mathfrak{a}\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes\mathfrak{n}\otimes A\otimes N\otimes A)\\ & \circ(A\otimes C\otimes N\otimes 1\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes\delta\otimes A)\\ =\, & (A\otimes N\otimes\mu\otimes C)\circ(A\otimes N\otimes A\otimes\mathfrak{a})\circ(A\otimes N\otimes A\otimes\xi\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes N\otimes\mathfrak{a}\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes N\otimes C\otimes 1\otimes N\otimes A)\\ & \circ(A\otimes\mathfrak{n}\otimes N\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes\delta\otimes A)\\ \overset{(1.6)}{=}\, & (A\otimes N\otimes\mu\otimes C)\circ(A\otimes N\otimes A\otimes\mathfrak{a})\circ(A\otimes N\otimes A\otimes\xi\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes N\otimes 1\otimes C\otimes N\otimes A)\circ\Big(A\otimes\Big((\mathfrak{n}\otimes N)\circ\delta\Big)\otimes A\Big)\\ =\, & (A\otimes N\otimes\mu\otimes C)\circ(A\otimes N\otimes A\otimes\mathfrak{a})\circ(A\otimes N\otimes 1\otimes C\otimes A)\circ(A\otimes N\otimes\xi\otimes A)\circ\Big(A\otimes\Big((\mathfrak{n}\otimes N)\circ\delta\Big)\otimes A\Big)\\ =\, & (A\otimes N\otimes\mu\otimes C)\circ(A\otimes N\otimes A\otimes\mathfrak{a})\circ(A\otimes N\otimes 1\otimes C\otimes A)\circ\Big(A\otimes\Big((N\otimes\xi)\circ(\mathfrak{n}\otimes N)\circ\delta\Big)\otimes A\Big)\\ =\, & (A\otimes N\otimes\mu\otimes C)\circ(A\otimes N\otimes 1\otimes A\otimes C)\circ(A\otimes N\otimes\mathfrak{a})\circ(A\otimes\mathfrak{n}\otimes A)\\ =\, & (A\otimes N\otimes\mathfrak{a})\circ(A\otimes\mathfrak{n}\otimes A) \,=\, \mathfrak{m},\end{aligned}$$

These give the commutativity of the two first diagrams in Proposition (2.2)(ii). The commutativity of the third one is derived from the following computations: From one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{m} \otimes_A M \otimes_A M) \circ (\widetilde{\delta} \otimes_A M) \circ \widetilde{\delta} \,&=\, (A \otimes N \otimes \mathfrak{a} \otimes N \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{n} \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \\
&\quad \circ (A \otimes C \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes C \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes A) \\
&= (A \otimes N \otimes \mathfrak{a} \otimes N \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes N \otimes C \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{n} \otimes N \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \\
&\quad \circ (A \otimes C \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes A) \\
&\overset{(1.6)}{=} (A \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes C \otimes N \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{n} \otimes N \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes C \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes A) \\
&= (A \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes C \otimes N \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{n} \otimes N \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes C \otimes N \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes A) \\
&= (A \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes C \otimes N \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes N \otimes C \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{n} \otimes N \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes A) \\
&= (A \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes C \otimes N \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes N \otimes C \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \circ \Big(A \otimes \big((\mathfrak{n} \otimes N \otimes N) \circ (\delta \otimes N) \circ \delta\big) \otimes A\Big) \\
&= (A \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes C \otimes N \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes N \otimes C \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes N \otimes \delta \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{n} \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes A),
\end{aligned}$$

and from an other hand, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(M \otimes_A \widetilde{\delta}) \circ (\mathfrak{m} \otimes_A M) \circ \widetilde{\delta} \,&=\, (A \otimes N \otimes C \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes N \otimes A \otimes \delta \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes N \otimes \mathfrak{a} \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{n} \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \\
&\quad \circ (A \otimes C \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes A) \\
&= (A \otimes N \otimes C \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes N \otimes A \otimes \delta \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes N \otimes \mathfrak{a} \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes N \otimes C \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \\
&\quad \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{n} \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes A) \\
&\overset{(1.6)}{=} (A \otimes N \otimes C \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes N \otimes A \otimes \delta \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes C \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{n} \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes A) \\
&= (A \otimes N \otimes C \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes C \otimes N \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes N \otimes \delta \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{n} \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes A) \\
&= (A \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes C \otimes N \otimes A \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes N \otimes C \otimes N \otimes 1 \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes N \otimes \delta \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \mathfrak{n} \otimes N \otimes A) \circ (A \otimes \delta \otimes A)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(M \otimes_A \widetilde{\delta}) \circ (\mathfrak{m} \otimes_A M) \circ \widetilde{\delta} \,=\, (\mathfrak{m} \otimes_A M \otimes_A M) \circ (\widetilde{\delta} \otimes_A M) \circ \widetilde{\delta}$. ☐

Remark 2.6. Entwining structures give an example of a wreath over coalgebras. Explicitly, given any entwining structure $(A, C)_{\mathfrak{a}}$ over $\mathbb{K}$ with $\mathfrak{a} : C \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A \to A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} C$. As we have already observe $(A, \mathfrak{a})$ is an object of the monoidal category $\mathscr{R}_{(C:\,\mathbb{K})}$. Taking $\boldsymbol{\eta} = C \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} 1 : C \to C \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} = C \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mu : C \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A \to C \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$. One can easily checks that $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ are in fact a C–bicolinear morphisms, that is $\boldsymbol{\eta} : (\mathbb{K}, C) \to (A, \mathfrak{a})$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} : (A, \mathfrak{a}) \underset{(C:\,\mathbb{K})}{\otimes} (A, \mathfrak{a}) \to (A, \mathfrak{a})$ are morphisms in $\mathscr{R}_{(C:\,\mathbb{K})}$. Furthermore, $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ endow $(A, \mathfrak{a})$ with a structure of an algebra in the monoidal category $\mathscr{R}_{(C:\,\mathbb{K})}$. That is $(A, \mathfrak{a})$ is in our terminology a C–wreath.

3. THE CATEGORY OF COMODULES OVER COWREATH, COWREATH PRODUCTS AND FUNCTORS.

This section presents a simplest and equivalent definitions of the objects and morphisms of the category of (right) comodules over a cowreath. The definition of cowreath product and the construction of some functors connecting categories are presented as well. For sake of completeness a detailed proofs are included.

Fix a coring $(\mathfrak{C} : A)$ and let us use the symbol $- \otimes -$ to denote the tensor product $- \otimes_A -$ over the base ring A. Let $(M, \mathfrak{m})$ be a $\mathfrak{C}$–cowreath with structure maps $\xi : \mathfrak{C} \otimes M \to \mathfrak{C}$ and $\delta : \mathfrak{C} \otimes M \to \mathfrak{C} \otimes M \otimes M$. Since $(M, \mathfrak{m})$ is a coalgebra in the monoidal category $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$, it is natural to ask for the category of (right) $(M, \mathfrak{m})$–comodules. Thus, an object $(X, \mathfrak{x})$ of $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$ is said to be a *right* $(M, \mathfrak{m})$*–comodule* if there exists a morphisms $\varrho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} : (X, \mathfrak{x}) \to (X, \mathfrak{x}) \underset{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}{\otimes} (M, \mathfrak{m})$ in $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$ which satisfies

$$\left((X, \mathfrak{x}) \underset{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}{\otimes} \xi\right) \circ \varrho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \,=\, (X, \mathfrak{x}), \quad \left((X, \mathfrak{x}) \underset{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}{\otimes} \delta\right) \circ \varrho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \,=\, \left(\varrho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \underset{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}{\otimes} (M, \mathfrak{m})\right) \circ \varrho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(M, \mathfrak{m})$ be a $\mathfrak{C}$–cowreath with structure maps $\xi : \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M \to \mathfrak{C}$ and $\delta : \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M \to \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M \otimes_A M$.*

(a) Consider $(X, \mathfrak{x})$ an object of $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$. The following conditions are equivalent

   (i) $(X,\mathfrak{x})$ *is right* $(M,\mathfrak{m})$*–comodule;*

   (ii) *There is a* $\mathfrak{C}$*–bicomodules morphism* $\varrho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} : \mathfrak{C}\otimes_A X \to \mathfrak{C}\otimes_A X\otimes_A M$ *such that*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{C}\otimes X \arrow[r, "\varrho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}"] \arrow[rrd, "\mathfrak{x}"'] & \mathfrak{C}\otimes X\otimes M \arrow[r, "\mathfrak{x}\otimes M"] & X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M \arrow[d, "X\otimes\xi"] \\
 & & X\otimes\mathfrak{C},
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{C}\otimes X \arrow[r, "\varrho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}"] \arrow[d, "\varrho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}"'] & \mathfrak{C}\otimes X\otimes M \arrow[r, "\mathfrak{x}\otimes M"] & X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M \arrow[d, "X\otimes\delta"] \\
\mathfrak{C}\otimes X\otimes M \arrow[r, "\varrho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}\otimes M"] & \mathfrak{C}\otimes X\otimes M\otimes M \arrow[r, "\mathfrak{x}\otimes M\otimes M"] & X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M
\end{tikzcd}$$

   are commutative diagrams.

(b) *Given two right* $(M,\mathfrak{m})$*–comodules* $(X,\mathfrak{x})$ *and* $(X',\mathfrak{x}')$*. A morphism* $\mathsf{f} : (X,\mathfrak{x}) \to (X',\mathfrak{x}')$ *in* $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$ *is a morphism of right* $(M,\mathfrak{m})$*–comodules if and only if* f *turns commutative the following diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{C}\otimes X \arrow[r, "\mathsf{f}"] \arrow[d, "\varrho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}"'] & \mathfrak{C}\otimes X' \arrow[d, "\varrho^{(X',\mathfrak{x}')}"] \\
\mathfrak{C}\otimes X\otimes M \arrow[r, "\mathsf{f}\otimes M"] & \mathfrak{C}\otimes X'\otimes M
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. (a). The proof of Proposition 2.2 can be adapted to the setting of this item.
(b). The map f is a morphism of right $(M,\mathfrak{m})$–comodule if and only if

$$\varrho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}\circ\mathsf{f} \;=\; \Big(\mathsf{f} \underset{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}{\otimes} (M,\mathfrak{m})\Big)\circ\varrho^{(X',\mathfrak{x}')},$$

if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
\varrho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}\circ\mathsf{f} \;&=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes X'\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{x}'\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathsf{f}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes X\otimes M)\circ\varrho^{(X',\mathfrak{x}')} \\
&\overset{(1.2)}{=}\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes X'\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathsf{f}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes X\otimes M)\circ\varrho^{(X',\mathfrak{x}')} \\
&=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes X'\otimes M)\circ\Big(\Big((\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathsf{f})\circ(\Delta\otimes X)\Big)\otimes M\Big)\circ\varrho^{(X',\mathfrak{x}')} \\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=}\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes X'\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes X'\otimes M)\circ(\mathsf{f}\otimes M)\circ\varrho^{(X',\mathfrak{x}')} \\
&=\; (\mathsf{f}\otimes M)\circ\varrho^{(X',\mathfrak{x}')}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Clearly $(M,\mathfrak{m})$ is right $(M,\mathfrak{m})$-comodule with coaction $\rho^{(M,\mathfrak{m})} = \delta$, and $(M\otimes M, (M\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M))$ is right $(M,\mathfrak{m})$–comodule with coaction

$$\rho^{\Big(M\otimes M,(M\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\Big)} \;=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\delta)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M).$$

The expressions of objects and morphisms in the category of left $(M,\mathfrak{m})$–comodules are given by the following

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $(M,\mathfrak{m})$ *be a* $\mathfrak{C}$*–cowreath with structure maps* $\xi : \mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M \to \mathfrak{C}$ *and* $\delta : \mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M \to \mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M\otimes_A M$*.*

(a) *Consider* $(X,\mathfrak{x})$ *an object of* $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$*. The following conditions are equivalent*

   (i) $(X,\mathfrak{x})$ *is left* $(M,\mathfrak{m})$*–comodule;*

   (ii) *There is a* $\mathfrak{C}$*–bicomodules morphism* $\lambda^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} : \mathfrak{C}\otimes_A X \to \mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M\otimes_A X$ *such that*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{C}\otimes X \arrow[r, "\lambda^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}"] \arrow[rd, equal] & \mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes X \arrow[d, "\xi\otimes X"] \\
 & \mathfrak{C}\otimes X,
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{C}\otimes X \arrow[r, "\lambda^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}"] \arrow[d, "\lambda^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}"'] & \mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes X \arrow[r, "\delta\otimes X"] & \mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes X \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes X"] \\
\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes X \arrow[r, "\mathfrak{m}\otimes X"] & M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes X \arrow[r, "M\otimes\lambda^{(X,\,\mathfrak{x})}"] & M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes X
\end{tikzcd}$$

   are commutative diagrams.

(b) *Given two left $(M, \mathfrak{m})$–comodules $(X, \mathfrak{x})$ and $(X', \mathfrak{x}')$. A morphism $\mathsf{f} : (X, \mathfrak{x}) \to (X', \mathfrak{x}')$ in $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}$ is a morphism of right $(M, \mathfrak{m})$–comodules if and only if f turns commutative the following diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathfrak{C}\otimes X \arrow[r, "\mathsf{f}"] \arrow[dl, "\lambda^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}"'] & \mathfrak{C}\otimes X' \arrow[dr, "\lambda^{(X',\mathfrak{x}')}"] & \\
\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes X \arrow[dr, "\mathfrak{m}\otimes X"'] & & & \mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes X' \arrow[dl, "\mathfrak{m}\otimes X'"] \\
& M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes X \arrow[r, "M\otimes\mathsf{f}"] & M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes X' &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The cowreath product, as was defined in more general setting in [13], is given in our case by the following.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(\mathfrak{C} : A)$ be any coring and $(M, \mathfrak{m})$ a $\mathfrak{C}$–cowreath with structure maps $\xi : \mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M \to \mathfrak{C}$ and $\delta : \mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M \to \mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M\otimes_A M$. Then $(\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M : A)$ is a coring whose comultiplication and counit are defined by*

$$\Delta' \;=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A \mathfrak{m}\otimes_A M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A \delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes_A M), \qquad \varepsilon' \;=\; \varepsilon\circ\xi.$$

Moreover, $\xi : \mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M \to \mathfrak{C}$ is a morphism of A–corings.

Proof. By definition ε' and Δ' are A–bilinear maps. We need to show the counitary and the coassociativity properties. The counitary property is derived from the following two computations

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon')\circ\Delta' \;&=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\xi)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\
&=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ\Big(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\Big((M\otimes\xi)\circ(\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ\delta\Big)\Big)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\
&\overset{2.2(ii)}{=}\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\
&\overset{(1.2)}{=}\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M) \;=\; \mathfrak{C}\otimes M,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varepsilon'\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ\Delta' \;&=\; (\varepsilon\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\xi\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=}\; (\varepsilon\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\xi\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\delta\\
&=\; (\varepsilon\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ\Big(\Big((\xi\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\Big)\otimes M\Big)\circ\delta\\
&\overset{(1.4)}{=}\; (\varepsilon\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\circ(\xi\otimes M)\circ\delta \;\overset{2.2(ii)}{=}\; \mathfrak{C}\otimes M
\end{aligned}$$

The coassociative property is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Delta'\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ\Delta' \;&=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\
&=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\
&=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\
&=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\Delta\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=}\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\
&=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ\Big(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\Big((\delta\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\Big)\otimes M\Big)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\
&\overset{(1.4)}{=}\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\Delta\otimes M\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta\otimes M)\\
&\qquad\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\
&=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\Delta\otimes M\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta\otimes M)\\
&\qquad\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\
&=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ\Big(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\Big((\mathfrak{m}\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\Big)\otimes M\otimes M\Big)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\
&\overset{(1.1)}{=}\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\Delta\otimes M)\\
&=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ\Big(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\Big((\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\delta\otimes M)\circ\delta\Big)\Big)\circ(\Delta\otimes M),
\end{aligned}$$

applying consecutively Proposition 2.2(ii) and equation (1.3) to the map δ, we get $(\Delta' \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ \Delta' = (\mathfrak{C} \otimes M \otimes \Delta') \circ \Delta'$.

By definition ξ is an A–bilinear map compatible with both counits ε and ε'. Let us show that ξ is compatible with comultiplications. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\xi \otimes \xi) \circ \Delta' &= (\xi \otimes \xi) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \delta) \circ (\Delta \otimes M) \\
&= (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \xi) \circ (\xi \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \delta) \circ (\Delta \otimes M) \\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=} (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \xi) \circ (\xi \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (\Delta \otimes M \otimes M) \circ \delta \\
&\overset{(1.4)}{=} (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \xi) \circ (\Delta \otimes M) \circ (\xi \otimes M) \circ \delta \overset{2.2(ii)}{=} (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \xi) \circ (\Delta \otimes M) \overset{(1.3)}{=} \Delta \circ \xi.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that ξ is a corings morphism, and finishes the proof. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $(\mathfrak{C} : A)$ be any coring and $(M, \mathfrak{m})$ a $\mathfrak{C}$–cowreath with structure maps $\xi : \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M \to \mathfrak{C}$ and $\delta : \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M \to \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M \otimes_A M$. Consider $\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M$ as an A–coring with structure given by proposition 3.3. There is a functor $- \otimes_A M : {}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \to {}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M}$ sending $(X, \rho^X) \to \Big(X \otimes_A M, (X \otimes_A \mathfrak{m} \otimes_A M) \circ (X \otimes_A \delta) \circ (\rho^X \otimes_A M)\Big)$ and $f \to f \otimes_A M$.*

Proof. We only prove that $- \otimes M$ is a well defined functor. Let (X, ρ^X) be an arbitrary object of the category ${}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, i.e. ρ^X is left A–linear and right $\mathfrak{C}$–coaction. Put, $\varrho^{X \otimes M} := (X \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M)$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(X \otimes M \otimes \varepsilon') \circ \varrho^{X \otimes M} &= (X \otimes M \otimes \varepsilon) \circ (X \otimes M \otimes \xi) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \\
&= (X \otimes M \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Big(X \otimes \big((M \otimes \xi) \circ (\mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ \delta\big)\Big) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \\
&\overset{2.2(ii)}{=} (X \otimes M \otimes \varepsilon) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{m}) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \\
&\overset{(1.2)}{=} (X \otimes \varepsilon \otimes M) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) = \mathfrak{C} \otimes M,
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the counit property. Now, we have from one hand

$$\begin{aligned}
(X \otimes M \otimes \Delta') \circ \varrho^{X \otimes M} &= (X \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \delta) \circ (X \otimes M \otimes \Delta \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=} (X \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes M \otimes \Delta \otimes M \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes M \otimes \delta) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \\
&= (X \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes M \otimes \Delta \otimes M \otimes M) \circ \Big(X \otimes \big((M \otimes \delta) \circ (\mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ \delta\big)\Big) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \\
&\overset{2.2(ii)}{=} (X \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes M \otimes \Delta \otimes M \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M),
\end{aligned}$$

and from another

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varrho^{X \otimes M} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ \varrho^{X \otimes M} &= (X \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \\
&= (X \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (\rho^X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \\
&= (X \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \delta) \circ (\rho^X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \\
&= (X \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \delta) \circ (X \otimes \Delta \otimes M) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=} (X \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \Delta \otimes M \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \\
&= (X \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ \Big(X \otimes \big((\delta \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m}) \circ (\Delta \otimes M)\big) \otimes M\Big) \circ (X \otimes \delta) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \\
&\overset{(1.4)}{=} (X \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \Delta \otimes M \otimes M \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \\
&= (X \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ \Big(X \otimes \big((\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m}) \circ (\Delta \otimes M)\big) \otimes M \otimes M\Big) \circ (X \otimes \delta \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \\
&\overset{(1.1)}{=} (X \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes M \otimes \Delta \otimes M \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(X \otimes M \otimes \Delta') \circ \varrho^{X \otimes M} = (\varrho^{X \otimes M} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ \varrho^{X \otimes M}$, thus $\varrho^{X \otimes M}$ is a right $\mathfrak{C} \otimes M$–coaction. Let $f : (X, \rho^X) \to (X', \rho^{X'})$ be a right $\mathfrak{C}$–colinear map. It is easily check that $\varrho^{X' \otimes M} \circ (f \otimes M) = (f \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ \varrho^{X \otimes M}$, that is $f \otimes M$ is a right $\mathfrak{C} \otimes M$–colinear maps. □

By Proposition 3.3, $\xi : \mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M \to \mathfrak{C}$ is a morphism of A-corings. So one can associated to ξ it induction functor $(-)_\xi : {}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M} \to {}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ which sends $(Y,\rho^Y) \to (Y,\rho^Y)_\xi \,=\, \Big(Y, (Y\otimes_A \xi)\circ \rho^Y\Big)$ and acts by identity on morphisms, see [10] or [6]. We prove by the following, that the induction functor $(-)_\xi$ admits $-\otimes_A M$ as right adjoint functor.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $(\mathfrak{C} : A)$ be any coring and $(M,\mathfrak{m})$ a $\mathfrak{C}$–cowreath with structure maps $\xi : \mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M \to \mathfrak{C}$ and $\delta : \mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M \to \mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M\otimes_A M$. Consider $\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M$ as an A–coring with structure given by proposition 3.3. There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_{A-\mathfrak{C}}\Big((Y,\rho^Y)_\xi,\,(X,\rho^X)\Big) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{A-(\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M)}\Big((Y,\rho^Y),\,(X,\rho^X)\otimes_A M\Big) \\
f \arrow[r, mapsto] & \big[(X\otimes_A M\otimes_A \varepsilon)\circ(f\otimes_A M\otimes_A \mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes_A \mathfrak{m})\circ\rho^Y\big] \\
\big[(X\otimes_A\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes_A\xi)\circ(\rho^X\otimes_A M)\circ g\big] & g, \arrow[l, mapsto]
\end{tikzcd}$$

for every pair of bicomodules $(X,\rho^X)\in {}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $(Y,\rho^Y)\in {}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M}$. That is the induction functor $(-)_\xi$ is left adjoint to the functor $-\otimes_A M$ defined in lemma 3.4.

Proof. We first show that the stated maps are well defined. Let $f : (Y,\rho^Y)_\xi \to (X,\rho^X)$ be any morphism in the category ${}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, and denote by $\widehat{f} = (X\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(f\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ\rho^Y$ its image. We know that $\rho^X\circ f = (f\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\xi)\circ\rho^Y$, so compute $\varrho^{X\otimes M}\circ\widehat{f}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varrho^{X\otimes M}\circ\widehat{f} \,&=\, (X\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\delta)\circ(\rho^X\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(f\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ\rho^Y \\
&=\, (X\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\delta)\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(\rho^X\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(f\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ\rho^Y \\
&=\, (X\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\delta)\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(f\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\xi\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(\rho^Y\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ\rho^Y \\
&=\, (X\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\delta)\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(f\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\xi\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(\rho^Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ\rho^Y \\
&=\, (X\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\delta)\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(f\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\xi\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(Y\otimes\Delta')\circ\rho^Y \\
&=\, (X\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\delta)\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(f\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\xi\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M) \\
&\qquad \circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(Y\otimes\Delta\otimes M)\circ\rho^Y \\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=} (X\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\delta)\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(f\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(Y\otimes\xi\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M) \\
&\qquad \circ(Y\otimes\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\delta)\circ\rho^Y \\
&\overset{(1.4)}{=} (X\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\delta)\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(f\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(Y\otimes\Delta\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\xi\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\delta)\circ\rho^Y \\
&\overset{2.2(ii)}{=} (X\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes\delta\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(f\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(Y\otimes\Delta\otimes M)\circ\rho^Y \\
&=\, (X\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(f\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\delta\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(Y\otimes\Delta\otimes M)\circ\rho^Y \\
&\overset{(1.4)}{=} (X\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(f\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M) \\
&\qquad \circ(Y\otimes\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\delta)\circ\rho^Y \\
&=\, (X\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(f\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M) \\
&\qquad \circ(Y\otimes\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\delta)\circ\rho^Y \\
&=\, (X\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(f\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M) \\
&\qquad \circ(Y\otimes\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\delta)\circ\rho^Y \\
&=\, (X\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(f\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M) \\
&\qquad \circ(Y\otimes\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\delta)\circ\rho^Y,
\end{aligned}$$

applying consecutively equations (1.1) and (1.2) to the twist map $\mathfrak{m}$, we get

$$\varrho^{X\otimes M}\circ\widehat{f} \,=\, (f\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\delta)\circ\rho^Y$$

Now, we compute $(\widehat{f} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ \rho^Y$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(\widehat{f} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ \rho^Y &= (X \otimes M \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (f \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (Y \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (\rho^Y \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ \rho^Y \\
&= (X \otimes M \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (f \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (Y \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (Y \otimes \Delta') \circ \rho^Y \\
&= (X \otimes M \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (f \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (Y \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (Y \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \\
&\quad \circ (Y \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \delta) \circ (Y \otimes \Delta \otimes M) \circ \rho^Y \\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=} (X \otimes M \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (f \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (Y \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (Y \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \\
&\quad \circ (Y \otimes \Delta \otimes M \otimes M) \circ (Y \otimes \delta) \circ \rho^Y \\
&\overset{(1.1)}{=} (f \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (Y \otimes M \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (Y \otimes M \otimes \Delta \otimes M) \circ (Y \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (Y \otimes \delta) \circ \rho^Y \\
&= (f \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (Y \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (Y \otimes \delta) \circ \rho^Y
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the last two computation, we then get $\varrho^{X \otimes M} \circ \widehat{f} = (\widehat{f} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ \rho^Y$. Thus $\widehat{f}$ is right $\mathfrak{C} \otimes M$–colinear.

Let $g : (Y, \rho^Y) \to (X \otimes M, \varrho^{X \otimes M})$ be now any left A–linear and right $\mathfrak{C} \otimes M$–colinear map. The last condition means that g satisfies

$$(X \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \circ g = (g \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ \rho^Y. \tag{3.2}$$

Denote by $\widetilde{g} = (X \otimes \varepsilon) \circ (X \otimes \xi) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \circ g$ the image of g. It is clear that $\widetilde{g}$ is left A–linear. Let us check that it is right $\mathfrak{C}$–colinearity. So, we have from one hand that

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^X \circ \widetilde{g} &= \rho^X \circ (X \otimes \varepsilon) \circ (X \otimes \xi) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \circ g \\
&= (X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ (\rho^X \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (X \otimes \xi) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \circ g \\
&= (X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \xi) \circ (\rho^X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \circ g \\
&= (X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \xi) \circ (X \otimes \Delta \otimes M) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \circ g \\
&= (X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ (X \otimes \Delta) \circ (X \otimes \xi) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \circ g \\
&= (X \otimes \xi) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \circ g,
\end{aligned}$$

and from another hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\widetilde{g} \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (Y \otimes \xi) \circ \rho^Y &= (X \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (X \otimes \xi \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (g \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (Y \otimes \xi) \circ \rho^Y \\
&= (X \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (X \otimes \xi \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (X \otimes M \otimes \xi) \circ (g \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ \rho^Y \\
&\overset{(3.2)}{=} (X \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (X \otimes \xi \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (X \otimes M \otimes \xi) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (X \otimes \delta) \\
&\quad \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \circ g \\
&\overset{2.2(ii)}{=} (X \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (X \otimes \xi \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{m}) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \circ g \\
&= (X \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (X \otimes \xi \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{m}) \circ (\rho^X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \circ g \\
&= (X \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (X \otimes \xi \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (X \otimes \mathfrak{m}) \circ (X \otimes \Delta \otimes M) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \circ g \\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=} (X \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (X \otimes \Delta) \circ (X \otimes \xi) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \circ g \;=\; (X \otimes \xi) \circ (\rho^X \otimes M) \circ g.
\end{aligned}$$

These imply the equality $\rho^X \circ \widetilde{g} = (\widetilde{g} \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (Y \otimes \xi) \circ \rho^Y$, that is $\widetilde{g}$ is right $\mathfrak{C}$–colinear.

Next, we show that both maps $\widetilde{-}$ and $\widehat{-}$ are mutually inverse. So let f and g two morphisms as above. By definitions, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\widetilde{g}} &= (X\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(\widetilde{g}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ\rho^{Y}\\
&= (X\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(X\otimes\xi\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(g\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ\rho^{Y}\\
&= (X\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(X\otimes\xi\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(X\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(g\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ\rho^{Y}\\
&\overset{(3.2)}{=} (X\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(X\otimes\xi\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes M\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(X\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\delta)\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes M)\circ g\\
&= (X\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(X\otimes\xi\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\delta)\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes M)\circ g\\
&= (X\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(X\otimes\xi\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\delta)\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes M)\circ g\\
&= (X\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(X\otimes\xi\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes M)\circ g\\
&= (X\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(X\otimes\xi\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(X\otimes\Delta\otimes M)\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes M)\circ g\\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=} (X\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(X\otimes\xi\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\delta)\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes M)\circ g\\
&= (X\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(X\otimes\xi\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\delta)\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes M)\circ g\\
&\overset{(1.4)}{=} (X\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(X\otimes\Delta\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\xi\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\delta)\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes M)\circ g\\
&\overset{(2.2)(ii)}{=} (X\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ(X\otimes\varepsilon\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes\Delta\otimes M)\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes M)\circ g\\
&\overset{(1.2)}{=} (X\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes M)\circ g \;=\; g.
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\widehat{f}} &= (X\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes\xi)\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes M)\circ\widehat{f}\\
&= (X\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes\xi)\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes M)\circ(X\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(f\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ\rho^{Y}\\
&= (X\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes\xi)\circ(\rho^{X}\otimes M)\circ(f\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{m})\circ\rho^{Y}\\
&\overset{(1.2)}{=} (X\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(X\otimes\xi)\circ(f\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\xi\otimes M)\circ(\rho^{Y}\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ\rho^{Y}\\
&= (X\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(f\otimes\mathfrak{C})\circ(Y\otimes\xi)\circ(Y\otimes\xi\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(\rho^{Y}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ\rho^{Y}\\
&= f\circ(Y\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(Y\otimes\xi)\circ(Y\otimes\xi\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\Delta')\circ\rho^{Y}\\
&= f\circ(Y\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(Y\otimes\xi)\circ(Y\otimes\xi\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\\
&\quad\circ(Y\otimes\Delta\otimes M)\circ\rho^{Y}\\
&\overset{(1.2)}{=} f\circ(Y\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(Y\otimes\xi)\circ(Y\otimes\xi\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\delta)\circ(Y\otimes\Delta\otimes M)\circ\rho^{Y}\\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=} f\circ(Y\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(Y\otimes\xi)\circ(Y\otimes\xi\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\varepsilon\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\Delta\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\delta)\circ\rho^{Y}\\
&= f\circ(Y\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(Y\otimes\xi)\circ(Y\otimes\xi\otimes M)\circ(Y\otimes\delta)\circ\rho^{Y}\\
&\overset{2.2(ii)}{=} f\circ(Y\otimes\varepsilon)\circ(Y\otimes\xi)\circ\rho^{Y} \;=\; f\circ(Y\otimes\varepsilon')\circ\rho^{Y} \;=\; f.
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Remark 3.6. In general, for any A–corings morphism $\phi:\mathfrak{D}\to\mathfrak{C}$, one can associated to it the induction functor $(-)_{\phi}:\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}\to\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. In this way $\mathfrak{D}$ becomes a $(\mathfrak{C},\mathfrak{D})$–bicomodule and $(\mathfrak{D},\mathfrak{C})$–bicomodule. If the left module ${}_{A}\mathfrak{D}$ is assumed to be flat or preserves certain equalizers. Then one can define, using this bicomodule, the cotensor functor $-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{D}:\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}\to\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$. In that case $(-)_{\phi}$ admits $-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{D}$ as right adjoint, see [10] or [6] for more details. Proposition 3.5 gives a right adjoint functor to $(-)_{\xi}$ without requiring any assumption on the cowreath product $\mathfrak{C}\otimes_{A}M$. Of course if we asume that $(\mathfrak{C}\otimes_{A}M)$ is a flat left A–module, then one can construct the cotensor product functor $-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\otimes_{A}M):{}_{A}\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}\to{}_{A}\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes_{A}M}$ associated to the coring morphisms $\xi:\mathfrak{C}\otimes_{A}M\to\mathfrak{C}$. In such case we have $(-)_{\xi}$ is left adjoint to $-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\otimes_{A}M)$, and by Proposition 3.5, we obtain a natural isomorphism $-\otimes_{A}M\cong-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\otimes_{A}M)$.

3.1. The functor $\mathscr{O}:\mathscr{M}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{(M,\,\mathfrak{m})}\to{}_{A}\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes M}$.

For any $\mathfrak{C}$–cowreath $(M, \mathfrak{m})$, we denote by $\mathscr{M}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{(M,\,\mathfrak{m})}$ its category of all right $(M, \mathfrak{m})$–comodules. Let $(X, \mathfrak{x})$ be an object of the category $\mathscr{M}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{(M,\,\mathfrak{m})}$ with right coaction $\rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} : \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A X \to \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M$. Recall that $\rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}$ is a morphism of $\mathfrak{C}$–bicomodules satisfying the conditions of Proposition 3.1(a)(ii). Define

$$\varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes X} : \mathfrak{C} \otimes X \xrightarrow{\Delta\otimes X} \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes X \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}\otimes\rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}} \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes M \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{x}\otimes M} \mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M.$$

We claim that $(\mathfrak{C} \otimes X, \varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes X})$ is an object of the category ${}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes M}$. That is $\varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes X}$ is a left A–linear and right $\mathfrak{C} \otimes M$–colinear map. The first property is clear, and the second one is obtained as follows. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes \varepsilon') \circ \varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes X} \quad &= \quad (\mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes \varepsilon) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes \xi) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}) \circ (\Delta \otimes X) \\
&\overset{3.1(a)(ii)}{=} \quad (\mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes \varepsilon) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x}) \circ (\Delta \otimes X) \\
&\overset{(1.2)}{=} \quad (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \varepsilon \otimes X) \circ (\Delta \otimes X) \;=\; \mathfrak{C} \otimes X.
\end{aligned}$$

The coassociativity property comes out as

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes M}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ\varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes M} \;&=\; (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{x}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{x}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})})\circ(\Delta\otimes X) \\
&= (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x} \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x} \otimes M) \circ (\Delta \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}) \circ (\Delta \otimes X) \\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=} (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x} \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x} \otimes M) \circ (\Delta \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes M) \circ (\Delta \otimes X \otimes M) \circ \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \\
&= (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x} \otimes M \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \Delta \otimes X \otimes M) \circ (\Delta \otimes X \otimes M) \circ \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \\
&\overset{(1.4)}{=} (\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{x}\otimes M\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes X\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{x}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\Delta\otimes X\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes X\otimes M)\circ\rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \\
&= (\mathfrak{C}\otimes X\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{m}\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{x}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{C}\otimes\mathfrak{x}\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\Delta\otimes X\otimes M\otimes M)\circ(\mathfrak{C}\otimes\rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}\otimes M)\circ(\Delta\otimes X\otimes M)\circ\rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \\
&\overset{(1.1)}{=} (\mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes \Delta \otimes M \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x} \otimes M \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \otimes M) \circ (\Delta \otimes X \otimes M) \circ \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \\
&= (\mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes \Delta \otimes M \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x} \otimes M \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}) \circ \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \\
&\overset{3.1(a)(ii)}{=} (\mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes \Delta \otimes M \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes \delta) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}) \circ \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=} (\mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \delta) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes \Delta \otimes M) \circ \varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes X} \;=\; (\mathfrak{C} \otimes X \otimes \Delta') \circ \varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes X},
\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of the claim. Let $f : (X, \mathfrak{x}) \to (X', \mathfrak{x}')$ be any morphism in category of right comodules $\mathscr{M}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{(M,\,\mathfrak{m})}$. Then by Proposition 3.1(b), f satisfies $\rho^{(X',\mathfrak{x}')} \circ f = (f \otimes M) \circ \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}$, using this equality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(f \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ \varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes X} \quad &= \quad (f \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}) \circ (\Delta \otimes M) \\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=} \quad (f \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x} \otimes M) \circ (\Delta \otimes X \otimes M) \circ \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \\
&= \quad \Big(\Big((f \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x}) \circ (\Delta \otimes X) \Big) \otimes M \Big) \circ \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \\
&\overset{(1.4)}{=} \quad \Big(\Big((\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x}') \circ (\Delta \otimes X') \circ f \Big) \otimes M \Big) \circ \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \\
&= \quad (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x}' \otimes M) \circ (\Delta \otimes X' \otimes M) \circ (f \otimes M) \circ \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \\
&= \quad (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x}' \otimes M) \circ (\Delta \otimes X' \otimes M) \circ \rho^{(X',\mathfrak{x}')} \circ f \\
&\overset{(1.3)}{=} \quad (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x}' \otimes M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \rho^{(X',\mathfrak{x}')}) \circ (\Delta \otimes X') \circ f \\
&= \quad \varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes X'} \circ f,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that f is a morphism in the category ${}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M}$.

In conclusion we have establish a faithful functor $\mathscr{O} : \mathscr{M}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{(M,\,\mathfrak{m})} \to {}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A M}$ acting by

$$\mathcal{O}\Big(\big((X, \mathfrak{x}), \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})} \big) \Big) \;=\; \Big(\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A X, \varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes_A X} := (\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \mathfrak{x} \otimes_A M) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \rho^{(X,\mathfrak{x})}) \circ (\Delta \otimes_A X) \Big), \qquad \mathcal{O}(f) = f.$$

3.2. **The adjunction.** $\mathscr{V} : {}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \rightleftarrows \mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)} : \mathscr{W}$.

For any object $(X, \mathfrak{x})$ in the category $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}$, we had seen that $\Big(\mathfrak{C} \otimes M, (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{x}) \circ (\Delta \otimes X) \Big)$ is an object in the category of bicomodules ${}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. Of course any morphism $\mathsf{f} : (X, \mathfrak{x}) \to (X', \mathfrak{x}')$ in $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:A)}$ is by definition $\mathsf{f} : \mathfrak{C} \otimes X \to \mathfrak{C} \otimes X'$ an $A - \mathfrak{C}$–bicolinear map. These in fact establish a functor which we denote by $\mathscr{W} : \mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:A)} \to {}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. In other direction, for every object (Z, ρ^Z) in the category ${}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, we have by [11, Lemma 2.1], that $\Big(Z, \mathfrak{z} = \rho^Z \circ (\varepsilon \otimes Z)\Big)$ is an object of the category $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}$. Given $g : (Z, \rho^Z) \to (Z', \rho^{Z'})$ any morphisms in ${}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, then clearly $\mathfrak{C} \otimes g : \mathfrak{C} \otimes Z \to \mathfrak{C} \otimes Z'$ is a $\mathfrak{C}$–bicomodule morphism, since the right $\mathfrak{C}$–coactions on $\mathfrak{C} \otimes Z$ and $\mathfrak{C} \otimes Z'$ are given, respectively, by $\varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes Z} = (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{z}) \circ (\Delta \otimes Z) = (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \rho^Z) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \varepsilon \otimes Z) \circ (\Delta \otimes Z) = \mathfrak{C} \otimes \rho^Z$ and $\varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes Z'} = \mathfrak{C} \otimes \rho^{Z'}$. That is $\mathfrak{C} \otimes g : (Z, \mathfrak{z}) \to (Z', \mathfrak{z}')$ is a morphism in the category $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}$. These establish a functor which we denote by $\mathscr{V} : {}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}$.

We claim that $\mathscr{W}$ is left adjoint functor to $\mathscr{V}$. Recall that, for every pair of objects $(Y, \mathfrak{y}) \in \mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}$ and $(Z, \rho^Z) \in {}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}}\Big((Y, \mathfrak{y}),\, \mathscr{V}(Z, \rho^Z)\Big) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}-\mathfrak{C}}\Big((\mathfrak{C} \otimes Y),\, (\mathfrak{C} \otimes Z)\Big) \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{{}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}}\Big(\mathscr{W}(Y, \mathfrak{y}),\, (Z, \rho^Z)\Big) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{A-\mathfrak{C}}\Big((\mathfrak{C} \otimes Y),\, Z\Big), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes Y} = \Delta \otimes Y$, $\rho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes Y} = (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{y}) \circ (\Delta \otimes Y)$, and $\lambda^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes Z} = \Delta \otimes Z$, $\rho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes Z} = \mathfrak{C} \otimes \rho^Z$. The natural isomorphism which gives the claimed adjunction is given as follows. To every $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{A-\mathfrak{C}}\Big((\mathfrak{C} \otimes Y),\, Z\Big)$, we set $\widehat{g} = (\mathfrak{C} \otimes g) \circ (\Delta \otimes Y) : \mathfrak{C} \otimes Y \to \mathfrak{C} \otimes Z$. While, to every $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}-\mathfrak{C}}\Big((\mathfrak{C} \otimes Y),\, (\mathfrak{C} \otimes Z)\Big)$, we set $\widetilde{f} = (\varepsilon \otimes Z) \circ f : \mathfrak{C} \otimes Y \to Z$. Keeping these notations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes Z} \circ \widehat{g} &= (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \rho^Z) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes g) \circ (\Delta \otimes Y) \\ &= (\mathfrak{C} \otimes g \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes Y}) \circ (\Delta \otimes Y) \\ &= (\mathfrak{C} \otimes g \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{y}) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \Delta \otimes Y) \circ (\Delta \otimes Y) \\ &= (\mathfrak{C} \otimes g \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{y}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes Y) \circ (\Delta \otimes Y) \\ &= (\mathfrak{C} \otimes g \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \mathfrak{C} \otimes Y) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{y}) \circ (\Delta \otimes Y) \\ &= \Big(\Big((\mathfrak{C} \otimes g) \circ (\Delta \otimes Y)\Big) \otimes \mathfrak{C}\Big) \circ \varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes Y} = (\widehat{g} \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ \varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes Y}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\widehat{g}$ is right $\mathfrak{C}$–colinear map. Hence $\widehat{g} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}-\mathfrak{C}}\Big((\mathfrak{C} \otimes Y),\, (\mathfrak{C} \otimes Z)\Big)$, since its already a left $\mathfrak{C}$–colinear map. This defines a map $\widehat{-} : \mathrm{Hom}_{{}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}}\Big(\mathscr{W}(Y, \mathfrak{y}),\, (Z, \rho^Z)\Big) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}}\Big((Y, \mathfrak{y}),\, \mathscr{V}(Z, \rho^Z)\Big)$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^Z \circ \widetilde{f} &= \rho^Z \circ (\varepsilon \otimes Z) \circ f \\ &= (\varepsilon \otimes Z \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \rho^Z) \circ f \\ &= (\varepsilon \otimes Z \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (f \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ (\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathfrak{y}) \circ (\Delta \otimes Y) \\ &= (\widetilde{f} \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \circ \varrho^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes Y}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\widetilde{f}$ is right $\mathfrak{C}$–colinear map. Whence $\widetilde{f} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{A-\mathfrak{C}}\Big((\mathfrak{C} \otimes Y),\, Z\Big)$, as $\widetilde{f}$ is by definition left A–linear. This establishes a map $\widetilde{-} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}}\Big((Y, \mathfrak{y}),\, \mathscr{V}(Z, \rho^Z)\Big) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{{}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}}\Big(\mathscr{W}(Y, \mathfrak{y}),\, (Z, \rho^Z)\Big)$. One can easily check that $\widetilde{\widehat{g}} = g$ and $\widehat{\widetilde{f}} = f$, for every f and g as above. Therefore, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}}\Big((Y, \mathfrak{y}),\, \mathscr{V}(Z, \rho^Z)\Big) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{{}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}}\Big(\mathscr{W}(Y, \mathfrak{y}),\, (Z, \rho^Z)\Big),$$

for every pair of objects $(Y, \mathfrak{y}) \in \mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)}$ and $(Z, \rho^Z) \in {}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, and the desired claim is proved.

We now summarize the situation by giving the following non commutative diagram:

(3.3)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{M}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{(M,\mathfrak{m})} \arrow[rr, "\mathscr{O}"] \arrow[dd, shift left, "\mathscr{U}"] & & {}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}\otimes M} \arrow[dd, shift left, "(-)_{\xi}"] \\
& & \\
\mathscr{R}_{(\mathfrak{C}:\,A)} \arrow[uu, shift left, "- \underset{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}{\otimes} (M,\mathfrak{m})"] \arrow[rr, shift left, "\mathscr{W}"] & & {}_A\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}, \arrow[uu, shift left, "-\otimes M"] \arrow[ll, shift left, "\mathscr{V}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the adjunction $- \underset{(\mathfrak{C}\,:\,A)}{\otimes} (M, \mathfrak{m}) \dashv \mathscr{U}$ is the usual one associated to the category of comodules over a coalgebra defined in monoidal category, and where the rest of pairwise arrows indicate the adjunction $\mathscr{W} \dashv \mathscr{V}$ given in 3.2 and $(-)_{\xi} \dashv - \otimes_A M$ stated in Proposition 3.5.

4. The dual notions: Wreath over rings extension.

In this section we give without proofs the "dual" of the majority of results stated in the previous sections. Notice that the notion "dual" is not at all perfect since there are several duales in the present context. This is due probably to the fact that any bicategory admits three kind of dualisation: by reversing 1-cells, by reversing 2-cells, or by reversing both them.

The notion of coring is dual to that of ring. That is given any ground base ring A, and consider its category of A-bimodules ${}_A\mathscr{M}_A$ as monoidal category with multiplication the tensor product over A; an A–coring is then a coalgebra in ${}_A\mathscr{M}_A$, while an A–ring is an algebra in ${}_A\mathscr{M}_A$. In this way an A–ring is just a unital ring extension $\iota : A \to T$ (i.e. a unital morphism of rings). The tensor product $- \otimes_A -$ will be denoted in some occasions by $- \otimes -$.

From now on, let us fix a rings extension $\iota : A \to T$, which we express by $(A : T)$. The multiplication of T will be denoted by μ (or μ_T) and it unit by 1 (or 1_T). Associated to $(A : T)$, as in Section 1 there is an additive monoidal category $\mathscr{R}_{(A:T)}$, defined by the following data

- *Objects.* Are pairs $(P, \mathfrak{p})$ consisting of an A–bimodule P and an A–bilinear map $\mathfrak{p} : T \otimes_A P \to P \otimes_A T$ satisfying

$$(P \otimes \mu) \circ (\mathfrak{p} \otimes T) \circ (T \otimes \mathfrak{p}) \;=\; \mathfrak{p} \circ (\mu \otimes P) \tag{4.1}$$

$$\mathfrak{p} \circ (1 \otimes P) \;=\; P \otimes 1 \tag{4.2}$$

  Given any object $(P, \mathfrak{p})$ and any left T–module X with action $\mathfrak{l}_X : T \otimes_A X \to X$. Then one can easily check that $P \otimes_A X$ inherits an structure of left T–module given by the action $\mathfrak{l}_{P \otimes_A X} = (P \otimes_A \mathfrak{l}_X) \circ (\mathfrak{p} \otimes_A T)$. Of course if X is assumed to be a T–bimodule, then $P \otimes_A X$ becomes also a T–bimodule. In this way for each object $(P, \mathfrak{p})$, the A–bimodule $P \otimes_A T$ will be always considered as a T–bimodule.
- *Morphisms.* For any two objects $(P, \mathfrak{p})$ and $(P', \mathfrak{p}')$, the $\mathbb{K}$–module of morphisms is defined by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{R}_{(A:\,T)}}\Big((P, \mathfrak{p}),\, (P', \mathfrak{p}')\Big) := \mathrm{Hom}_{T-T}\Big(P \otimes_A T,\, P' \otimes_A T\Big).$$

The category $\mathscr{R}_{(A:\,T)}$ is monoidal with horizontal multiplication given by

$$(P, \mathfrak{p}) \underset{(A:\,T)}{\otimes} (P', \mathfrak{p}') \;=\; \Big(P \otimes_A P',\, (P \otimes_A \mathfrak{p}') \circ (\mathfrak{p} \otimes_A P)\Big)$$

Now, for any pair of morphisms $\alpha : (P, \mathfrak{p}) \to (Q, \mathfrak{q})$ and $\beta : (P', \mathfrak{p}') \to (Q', \mathfrak{q}')$, the vertical multiplication $\alpha \underset{(A:\,T)}{\otimes} \beta$ is defined as the composition map

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P \otimes P' \otimes T \arrow[rrr, dashed, "\alpha \underset{(A:\,T)}{\otimes} \beta"] \arrow[d, "P \otimes 1 \otimes P' \otimes T"'] & & & Q \otimes Q' \otimes T \\
P \otimes T \otimes P' \otimes T \arrow[dr, "\alpha \otimes P' \otimes T"'] & & & Q \otimes Q' \otimes T \otimes T \arrow[u, "Q \otimes Q' \otimes \mu"'] \\
& Q \otimes T \otimes P' \otimes T \arrow[r, "Q \otimes \mathfrak{p}' \otimes T"'] & Q \otimes P' \otimes T \otimes T \arrow[ur, "Q \otimes \beta \otimes T"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

or equivalently, $\alpha \underset{(A:\,T)}{\otimes} \beta = (Q \otimes Q' \otimes \mu) \circ (Q \otimes \mathfrak{q}' \otimes T) \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta) \circ (P \otimes 1 \otimes P' \otimes T)$. The identity object of this multiplication is proportioned by the pair $(T \otimes A \cong A \otimes T, A)$.

Remark 4.1. Let $(A : T)$ be any rings extension and P an A–bimodule. Then one can easily check that the following statements are equivalent.

(i) $P \otimes_A T$ is a T-bimodule with right T-action $\mathfrak{r}_{P\otimes T} \;=\; P \otimes \mu$;

(ii) there is an A-bilinear map $\mathfrak{p} : T \otimes P \to P \otimes T$ such that $(P, \mathfrak{p})$ is an object of the category $\mathscr{R}_{(A:\,T)}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(P, \mathfrak{p})$ and $(Q, \mathfrak{q})$ two objects of the category $\mathscr{R}_{(A:\,T)}$, and $f : P \to Q$ an A–bilinear map. the following conditions are equivalent*

(a) $f \otimes_A T : (P, \mathfrak{p}) \to (Q, \mathfrak{q})$ is a morphism in $\mathscr{R}_{(A:\,T)}$;

(b) f satisfies the equality

$$\mathfrak{q} \circ (T \otimes f) \;=\; (f \otimes T) \circ \mathfrak{p}.$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

Definition 4.3. Let $(A : T)$ be a rings extension, and consider its associated monoidal category $\mathscr{R}_{(A:\,T)}$. A *wreath* over T or T*–wreath* is a an algebra in $\mathscr{R}_{(A:T)}$, and *cowreath* or T*–cowreath* is a coalgebra in $\mathscr{R}_{(A:T)}$.

Remark 4.4. As in the case of corings, in Definition 4.3 we are defining in fact a right wreath and right cowreath. The left versions of those definitions are given in the left monoidal category $\mathscr{L}_{(A:T)}$ whose object are pairs $(\mathfrak{u}, U)$ consisting of an A–bimodule U and A–bilinear map $\mathfrak{u} : U \otimes_A T \to T \otimes_A U$ satisfying the equalities

$$\mathfrak{u} \circ (U \otimes 1_T) \;=\; 1_T \otimes U \tag{4.3}$$

$$\mathfrak{u} \circ (U \otimes \mu) \;=\; (\mu \otimes U) \circ (T \otimes \mathfrak{u}) \circ (\mathfrak{u} \otimes T). \tag{4.4}$$

The $\mathbb{K}$–modules morphisms are $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{L}_{(A:\,T)}}\Big((\mathfrak{u}, U),\, (\mathfrak{u}', U')\Big) \;=\; \mathrm{Hom}_{T-T}\Big(T \otimes_A U,\, T \otimes_A U'\Big)$, where $T \otimes_A U$ and $T \otimes_A U'$ are endowed with a structure of T–bimodule with right T–action given, respectively, by $\mathfrak{r}_{T\otimes_A U} \;=\; (\mu \otimes_A U) \circ (T \otimes_A \mathfrak{u})$ and $\mathfrak{r}_{T\otimes_A U'} \;=\; (\mu \otimes_A U') \circ (T \otimes_A \mathfrak{u}')$. The multiplications in this monoidal category are given as follows: Given $\alpha : (\mathfrak{u}, U) \to (\mathfrak{v}, V)$ and $\beta : (\mathfrak{u}', U') \to (\mathfrak{v}', V')$ two morphisms in $\mathscr{L}_{(A:\,T)}$, the horizontal multiplication is defined by

$$(\mathfrak{u}, U) \underset{(A:\,T)}{\otimes} (\mathfrak{u}', U') \;=\; \Big((\mathfrak{u} \otimes_A U') \circ (U \otimes_A \mathfrak{u}'),\, U \otimes_A U'\Big)$$

and the vertical multiplication is defined by

$$\alpha \underset{(A:\,T)}{\otimes} \beta \;=\; (\mu \otimes_A V \otimes_A V') \circ (T \otimes_A \alpha \otimes_A V') \circ (T \otimes_A \mathfrak{u} \otimes_A V') \circ (T \otimes_A U \otimes_A \beta) \circ (T \otimes_A U \otimes_A 1 \otimes_A U').$$

The dual version of Proposition 2.2 is the following

Proposition 4.5. *Let $(A : T)$ be a rings extension, and $(R, \mathfrak{r})$ an object of the category $\mathscr{R}_{(A:\,T)}$. The following statements are equivalent*

(i) $(R, \mathfrak{r})$ is a T-wreath.

(ii) There is a T-bilinear maps $\boldsymbol{\eta} : T \to R\otimes_A T$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} : R\otimes_A R\otimes_A T \to R\otimes_A T$ rendering commutative the following diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R \otimes R \otimes T \arrow[r, "\boldsymbol{\mu}"] & R \otimes T \\
R \otimes T \arrow[u, "R\otimes\boldsymbol{\eta}"] \arrow[ur, equal] &
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
R \otimes R \otimes T \arrow[rr, "\boldsymbol{\mu}"] & & R \otimes T \\
R \otimes T \otimes R \arrow[u, "R\otimes\mathfrak{r}"] & & T \otimes R \arrow[u, "\mathfrak{r}"'] \arrow[ll, "\boldsymbol{\eta}\otimes R"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R \otimes R \otimes T \otimes R \arrow[r, "\boldsymbol{\mu}\otimes R"] \arrow[d, "R\otimes R\otimes\mathfrak{r}"'] & R \otimes T \otimes R \arrow[r, "R\otimes\mathfrak{r}"] & R \otimes R \otimes T \arrow[d, "\boldsymbol{\mu}"] \\
R \otimes R \otimes R \otimes T \arrow[r, "R\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}"'] & R \otimes R \otimes T \arrow[r, "\boldsymbol{\mu}"'] & R \otimes T
\end{tikzcd}$$

The object and morphisms in the category of right modules over a wreath are expressed in the following proposition

Proposition 4.6. *Let* $(R,\mathfrak{r})$ *be a* T*–wreath with structure maps* $\boldsymbol{\eta}: T \to R\otimes_A T$ *and* $\boldsymbol{\mu}: R\otimes_A R\otimes_A T \to R\otimes_A T$.

(a) Consider $(Y,\mathfrak{y})$ *an object of* $\mathscr{R}_{(A:T)}$. *The following conditions are equivalent*

(i) $(Y,\mathfrak{y})$ *is right* $(R,\mathfrak{r})$*–module;*

(ii) There is a T*–bilinear map* $\mathsf{r}_{(Y,\mathfrak{y})}: Y\otimes_A R\otimes_A T \to Y\otimes_A T$ *such that*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y\otimes R\otimes T \arrow[r, "\mathsf{r}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}"] & Y\otimes T \\
Y\otimes T \arrow[u, "Y\otimes\boldsymbol{\eta}"] \arrow[ur, equal] &
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
Y\otimes R\otimes T\otimes R \arrow[r, "Y\otimes R\otimes\mathfrak{r}"] \arrow[d, "\mathsf{r}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}\otimes R"'] & Y\otimes R\otimes R\otimes T \arrow[r, "Y\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}"] & Y\otimes R\otimes T \arrow[d, "\mathsf{r}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}"] \\
Y\otimes T\otimes R \arrow[r, "Y\otimes\mathfrak{r}"] & Y\otimes R\otimes T \arrow[r, "\mathsf{r}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}"] & Y\otimes T
\end{tikzcd}$$

are commutative diagrams.

(b) Given two right $(R,\mathfrak{r})$*–modules* $(Y,\mathfrak{y})$ *and* $(Y',\mathfrak{y}')$. *A morphism* $\mathsf{g}:(Y,\mathfrak{y})\to(Y',\mathfrak{y}')$ *in* $\mathscr{R}_{(A:T)}$ *is a morphism of right* $(R,\mathfrak{r})$*–modules if and only if* g *turns commutative the following diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& Y\otimes T\otimes R \arrow[r, "\mathsf{g}\otimes R"] \arrow[dl, "Y\otimes\mathfrak{r}"'] & Y'\otimes T\otimes R \arrow[dr, "Y'\otimes\mathfrak{r}"] & \\
Y\otimes R\otimes T \arrow[dr, "\mathsf{r}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}"'] & & & Y'\otimes R\otimes T \arrow[dl, "\mathsf{r}_{(Y',\,\mathfrak{y}')}"] \\
& Y\otimes T \arrow[r, "\mathsf{g}"] & Y'\otimes T &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Analogously we expresse the objects and morphisms in the category of left modules over a wreath

Proposition 4.7. *Let* $(R,\mathfrak{r})$ *be a* T*–wreath with structure maps* $\boldsymbol{\eta}: T \to R\otimes_A T$ *and* $\boldsymbol{\mu}: R\otimes_A R\otimes_A T \to R\otimes_A T$.

(a) Consider $(Y,\mathfrak{y})$ *an object of* $\mathscr{R}_{(A:T)}$. *The following are equivalent*

(i) $(Y,\mathfrak{y})$ *is left* $(R,\mathfrak{r})$*–module;*

(ii) There is a T*–bilinear map* $\mathsf{l}_{(Y,\mathfrak{y})}: R\otimes_A Y\otimes_A T \to Y\otimes_A T$ *such that*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T\otimes Y \arrow[r, "\boldsymbol{\eta}\otimes Y"] \arrow[drr, "\mathfrak{y}"'] & R\otimes T\otimes Y \arrow[r, "R\otimes\mathfrak{y}"] & R\otimes Y\otimes T \arrow[d, "\mathsf{l}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}"] \\
& & Y\otimes T,
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
R\otimes R\otimes Y\otimes T \arrow[r, "R\otimes\mathsf{l}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}"] & R\otimes Y\otimes T \arrow[r, "\mathsf{l}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}"] & Y\otimes T \\
R\otimes R\otimes T\otimes Y \arrow[u, "R\otimes R\otimes\mathfrak{y}"] \arrow[r, "\boldsymbol{\mu}\otimes Y"] & R\otimes T\otimes Y \arrow[r, "R\otimes\mathfrak{y}"] & R\otimes Y\otimes T \arrow[u, "\mathsf{l}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

are commutative diagrams.

(b) Given two left $(R,\mathfrak{r})$*–modules* $(Y,\mathfrak{y})$ *and* $(Y',\mathfrak{y}')$. *A morphism* $\mathsf{g}:(Y,\mathfrak{y})\to(Y',\mathfrak{y}')$ *in* $\mathscr{R}_{(A:T)}$ *is a morphism of right* $(R,\mathfrak{r})$*–modules if and only if* g *turns commutative the following diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R\otimes Y\otimes T \arrow[r, "R\otimes\mathsf{g}"] \arrow[d, "\mathsf{l}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}"'] & R\otimes Y'\otimes T \arrow[d, "\mathsf{l}_{(Y',\,\mathfrak{y}')}"] \\
Y\otimes T \arrow[r, "\mathsf{g}"] & Y'\otimes T
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $(R,\mathfrak{r})$ be a T-wreath and $(Y,\mathfrak{y})$ a right $(R,\mathfrak{r})$-module and left $(R,\mathfrak{r})$-module with actions maps $\mathsf{l}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}: R\otimes_A Y\otimes_A T\to Y\otimes_A T$ and $\mathsf{r}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}: Y\otimes_A R\otimes_A T\to Y\otimes_A T$. Then $(Y,\mathfrak{y})$ is a $(R,\mathfrak{r})$–bimodule if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathsf{l}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}\circ(R\otimes Y\otimes\mu)\circ(R\otimes\mathsf{r}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}\otimes T)\circ(R\otimes Y\otimes R\otimes 1\otimes T)\\
&\qquad\qquad = \mathsf{r}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}\circ(Y\otimes R\otimes\mu)\circ(Y\otimes\mathfrak{r}\otimes T)\circ(\mathsf{l}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}\otimes R\otimes T)\circ(R\otimes Y\otimes 1\otimes R\otimes T).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

The wreath product is described by the following proposition

Proposition 4.8. *Let* $(A:T)$ *be any ring extension and* $(R,\mathfrak{r})$ *a* T*–wreath with structure maps* $\boldsymbol{\eta}: T\to R\otimes_A T$ *and* $\boldsymbol{\mu}: R\otimes_A R\otimes_A T\to R\otimes_A T$. *Then* $(A: R\otimes_A T)$ *is a ring extension whose multiplication and unit are defined by*

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}' \;=\; (R\otimes_A\mu)\circ(\boldsymbol{\mu}\otimes_A T)\circ(R\otimes_A\mathfrak{r}\otimes_A T), \qquad \boldsymbol{\eta}' \;=\; \boldsymbol{\eta}\circ 1.$$

Moreover, $\boldsymbol{\eta}: T\to R\otimes_A T$ *is a morphism of* A*–rings.*

4.1. **Functors connecting modules categories.** Given $(R,\mathfrak{r})$ a T–wreath, we denote by ${}_{(R,\,\mathfrak{r})}\mathscr{M}$ its category of all right $(R,\mathfrak{r})$-module. As in the case of corings, we have a noncommutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}[row sep=huge, column sep=huge]
{}_{(R,\mathfrak{r})}\mathscr{M} \arrow[r, "\mathscr{O}'"] \arrow[d, shift left, "\mathscr{U}'"] & {}_{R\otimes T}\mathscr{M}_T \arrow[d, shift left, "(-)_\eta"] \\
\mathscr{R}_{(A:\,T)} \arrow[u, shift left, "(R,\mathfrak{r})\underset{(A:\,T)}{\otimes}-"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\mathscr{W}'"] & {}_T\mathscr{M}_A, \arrow[l, shift left, "\mathscr{V}'"] \arrow[u, shift left, "R\otimes-"]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.6}$$

where

- the functor $\mathcal{O}' : {}_{(R,\,\mathfrak{r})}\mathscr{M} \to {}_{R\otimes T}\mathscr{M}_T$ is defined by
$$\mathcal{O}'\Big(\big((Y,\mathfrak{y}),\mathfrak{l}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}\big)\Big) \;=\; \Big(Y\otimes_A T, \mathfrak{l}_{Y\otimes_A T} := (Y\otimes_A \mu)\circ(\mathfrak{l}_{(Y,\,\mathfrak{y})}\otimes_A T)\circ(R\otimes_A \mathfrak{y}\otimes_A X)\Big), \qquad \mathcal{O}'(f)=f;$$
- the functors of the adjunction $\mathscr{V}' \dashv \mathscr{W}'$: $\mathscr{V}' : {}_T\mathscr{M}_A \rightleftarrows \mathscr{R}_{(A:\,T)} : \mathscr{W}'$ are defined by
$$\mathscr{W}'\Big((P,\mathfrak{p})\Big) \;=\; P\otimes_A T, \qquad \mathscr{W}'(f) \;=\; f$$
and
$$\mathscr{V}'(X,\mathfrak{l}_X) \;=\; \Big(X, \mathfrak{x} := (X\otimes_A 1)\circ \mathfrak{l}_X\Big), \qquad \mathscr{V}'(f) \;=\; f\otimes_A T;$$
- the adjunction $R\otimes_A - \dashv (-)_\eta$ is the usual adjunction associated to the A-rings morphisms of Proposition 4.8;
- the adjunction $(R,\,\mathfrak{r})\underset{(A:\,T)}{\otimes} - \dashv \mathscr{U}'$ is the usual adjunction for the category of right modules over an algebra defined in monoidal category.

4.2. **Twisted tensor product algebras are wreath products.** Let T and R two A–rings with multiplications and units μ_T, 1_T and μ_R, 1_R. Assume that there is an A–bilinear map $\mathfrak{r} : T\otimes_A R \to R\otimes_A T$ satisfying

$$\mathfrak{r}\circ(1_T\otimes R) \;=\; R\otimes 1_T \tag{4.7}$$
$$\mathfrak{r}\circ(\mu_T\otimes R) \;=\; (R\otimes\mu_T)\circ(\mathfrak{r}\otimes T)\circ(T\otimes\mathfrak{r}) \tag{4.8}$$
$$\mathfrak{r}\circ(T\otimes 1_R) \;=\; 1_R\otimes T \tag{4.9}$$
$$\mathfrak{r}\circ(T\otimes\mu_R) \;=\; (\mu_R\otimes T)\circ(R\otimes\mathfrak{r})\circ(\mathfrak{r}\otimes R). \tag{4.10}$$

Equations (4.7) and (4.8) say that $(R,\mathfrak{r})$ is an object of the category $\mathscr{R}_{(A:\,T)}$. While equations (4.9) and (4.10) say that $(\mathfrak{r},T)$ is an object of the category $\mathscr{L}_{(A:\,R)}$.
Taking the maps $\boldsymbol{\eta} := 1_R\otimes_A T : T\to R\otimes_A T$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} := \mu_R\otimes_A T : R\otimes_A R\otimes_A T \to R\otimes_A T$, we can easily check using associativity and unitary properties of μ_R and 1_R, that $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ satisfy the commutativity of the diagrams stated in Proposition 4.5(ii). Equations (4.9) and (4.10) show that both $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ are T–bilinear maps. That is $(R,\mathfrak{r})$ a T-wreath with structure maps $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. The wreath product $R\otimes_A T$ is by Proposition 4.5 an A-ring extension of T with multiplication and unit

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}' \;=\; (\mu_R\otimes_A\mu_T)\circ(R\otimes_A\mathfrak{r}\otimes_A T), \qquad 1_{R\otimes_A T} \;=\; 1_R\otimes_A 1_T.$$

Of course $(\mathfrak{r},T)\in\mathscr{L}_{(A:\,R)}$ can be also considered as an R-wreath with structure maps $R\otimes_A 1_T$ and $R\otimes_A\mu_T$, and will leads to the wreath product $R\otimes_A T$ but this time a ring extension of R.

In the commutative case (i.e. $A=\mathbb{K}$), these algebras were refereed in the literature by *twisted tensor product algebras*, and were intensively studied by several Mathematicians, see [18, 19, 7, 8, 14] and references cited there.

4.3. **Example: Ore extensions are wreath products.** ([8, Example 2.11]). We prove in this subsection that the classical Ore extension [9, 15] constructed by using left skew derivations are in fact a product of wreath defined over a commutative polynomials rings with one variable. So consider such polynomials ring $T = \mathbb{K}[X]$, and let B be any ring (i.e. $\mathbb{K}$–algebra). Assume that δ is a left σ-derivation of B, where σ is a endomorphism of rings of B. That is δ is a $\mathbb{K}$–linear maps obeying the rule $\delta(bb') = \delta(b)b' + \sigma(b)\delta(b')$, for every $b, b' \in B$. We define by induction the following map $\mathfrak{b} : T \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B \to B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} T$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{b}(1 \otimes b) &= b \otimes 1 \\ \mathfrak{b}(X \otimes b) &= \sigma(b) \otimes X + \delta(b) \otimes 1, \end{aligned}$$

and if $\mathfrak{b}(X^n \otimes b) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} b_i \otimes X^i$, for some $n \geq 1$, then

$$\mathfrak{b}(X^{n+1} \otimes b) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Big(\sigma(b_i) \otimes X^{i+1} + \delta(b_i) \otimes X^i \Big)$$

Following to the proof of [9, Proposition 1.10], consider the $\mathbb{K}$–linear endomorphisms ring $E = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{K}}\Big(B[t]\Big)$ of a commutative polynomial ring over B. Clearly the ring B is identified with its image in E by using left multiplications. The maps σ and δ are extended to maps in E where $\sigma(bt^i) = \sigma(b)t^i$ and $\delta(bt^i) = \delta(b)t^i$, for all $b \in B$ and $i = 0, 1, \cdots$. Denote by Y the element of E defined by $Y(f) = \sigma(f)t + \delta(f)$, for all $f \in B[t]$, and construct a map

$$\begin{tikzcd} B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[X] \arrow[r, "\tau"] & \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{K}}\Big(B[t]\Big) \\ b \otimes X^n \arrow[r, mapsto] & bY^n \end{tikzcd}$$

τ is in fact injective since the left B–submodule generated by the set $\{Y^n\}_{n=0,1,\cdots}$ is a free. By the associativity of E, we have $\tau \circ \mathfrak{b} \circ (\mu \otimes B) = \tau \circ (B \otimes \mu) \circ (\mathfrak{b} \otimes T) \circ (T \otimes \mathfrak{b})$. Whence $\mathfrak{b} \circ (\mu \otimes B) = (B \otimes \mu) \circ (\mathfrak{b} \otimes T) \circ (T \otimes \mathfrak{b})$, thus $(\mathfrak{b}, B)$ is an object of the category $\mathscr{R}_{(\mathbb{K}:\,T)}$. Using again the injectivity of the map τ, we can prove that $(\mathfrak{b}, B)$ is a T–wreath with structure maps $\boldsymbol{\eta} : T \to B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} T$ sending $X^n \mapsto 1 \otimes X^n$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} : B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} T \to B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} T$ sending $b \otimes b' \otimes X^n \mapsto bb' \otimes X^n$. In this way the product of this wreath is a $\mathbb{K}$–algebra with underlying $\mathbb{K}$–module $B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[X]$ and multiplication

$$(b \otimes X^n)(b' \otimes X^m) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} bb'_i \otimes X^{i+m}$$

where $\mathfrak{b}(X^n \otimes b') = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} b'_i \otimes X^i$. This algebra is in fact an extension of B via the map $- \otimes 1 : B \to B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[X]$. Now given any rings extension $\phi : B \to S$ and assume that there exists an element $Z \in S$ such that $Zb = \sigma(b)Z + \delta(b)$, for all $b \in B$. This condition leads to construct a ring extension $\overline{\phi} : B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[X] \to S$ sending $b \otimes X^n \mapsto bZ^n$. Its clear now that $\phi = \overline{\phi} \circ (- \otimes 1)$. In conclusion the $\mathbb{K}$–algebra $B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[X]$ satisfies the universal condition on Ore extension, and thus $B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[X] = B[Y; \sigma; \delta]$. Notice that $B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[X]$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra $\sum_{i=0,1,\cdots} BY^i$ of E.
Here in fact we have constructed a wreath product with commutative base ring. An example of wreath with non-commutative base ring can be constructed as above using an iterated Ore extensions over a non commutative ring A. That is one can prove that the iterated Ore extension of type $A[X_1; \sigma_1; \delta_1][X_2; \sigma_2; \delta_2]$ is a wreath product with base ring A.

4.4. **Čap et al construction of twisted modules.** In this subsection we review Čap et al [7, Section 3] constructions of what they call a twisted modules over a twisted tensor algebra. The problem concerned in [7, Section 3] is rephrased in the non commutative case as follows: Given A a non commutative base ring, T, R and $\mathfrak{r} : T \otimes_A R \to R \otimes_A T$ as in subsection 4.2, a (T, A)-bimodule X, an (R, A)-bimodule Y, can we make $X \otimes_A Y$ into a left $R \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}} T$–module in a way which is compatible with the inclusion of R, i.e. such that $(r \otimes 1_T).(x \otimes y) = (rx) \otimes y$, for every $r \in R$, $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$? where $R \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}} T := R \otimes_A T$ denotes the wreath product of subsection 4.2. The left action which the authors proposed is the following one

$$\mathsf{l}_{X \otimes Y} : R \otimes T \otimes X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{R \otimes \mathfrak{r} \otimes Y} R \otimes X \otimes T \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\mathsf{l}_X \otimes \mathsf{l}_Y} X \otimes Y$$

where $\mathsf{l}_X : T \otimes_A X \to X$ and $\mathsf{l}_Y : R \otimes_A Y \to Y$ are, respectively, the A–bilinear left action map of X and Y, and $\mathfrak{x} : T \otimes_A X \to X \otimes_A T$ is some A-bilinear map. A suffisent condition which should satisfies $\mathfrak{x}$ in order to answer positively to the above question using the map $\mathsf{l}_{X\otimes Y}$, was given in the commutative case in [7, 3.6 and 3.7] and says the following: $\mathfrak{x}$ is said to be a left module twisting map if and only if

$$\mathfrak{x} \circ (1_T \otimes X) \;=\; X \otimes 1_R \tag{4.11}$$

$$\mathfrak{x} \circ (\mu_T \otimes X) \;=\; (X \otimes \mu_T) \circ (\mathfrak{x} \otimes T) \circ (T \otimes \mathfrak{x}) \tag{4.12}$$

$$\mathfrak{x} \circ (T \otimes \mathsf{l}_X) \;=\; (\mathsf{l}_X \otimes_T) \circ (R \otimes \mathfrak{x}) \circ (\mathfrak{r} \otimes X). \tag{4.13}$$

[7, Theorem 3.8] says: If $\mathfrak{x}$ is a left module twisting map, the $\mathsf{l}_{X\otimes Y}$ gives the answer to the above question. A reciprocate implication was also given in that Theorem: If X is projective and for one left faithful module Y the map $\mathsf{l}_{X\otimes Y}$ defines a left action which compatible with the inclusion of R then $\mathfrak{x}$ is a left module twisting map.

Let us traduce the above constructions in our context. First of all, it is obvious that equations (4.11) and (4.12) say that $(X, \mathfrak{x})$ is actually an object of the category $\mathscr{R}_{(A:T)}$. Take the A-bilinear map $\mathsf{l}_{(X,\,\mathfrak{x})} := \mathsf{l}_X \otimes_A T : R \otimes_A X \otimes_A T \to X \otimes_A T$. It is easily seen that this map satisfies the commutativity of the diagrams sated in Proposition 4.7(ii). By Lemma 4.2, $\mathsf{l}_{(X,\,\mathfrak{x})}$ is a T–bilinear map if and only if equation (4.13) is fulfilled. Therefore, $\mathfrak{x}$ is a left module twisting map if and only if $(X, \mathfrak{x})$ is a left $(R, \mathfrak{r})$-module with action $\mathsf{l}_{(X,\,\mathfrak{x})} = \mathsf{l}_X \otimes_A T$. Thus what Čap et al were constructing is just an induced left module over the wreath $(R, \mathfrak{r})$. Next, we formulate the non commutative version of [7, Theorem 3.8].

Proposition 4.9. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{r})$ be the T-wreath of subsection 4.2 and X an (R, A)–bimodule with left R-action l_X. Assume that $(X, \mathfrak{x})$ is also a left $(R, \mathfrak{r})$-module with action $\mathsf{l}_{(X,\,\mathfrak{x})} : R \otimes_A X \otimes_A T \to X \otimes_A T$ and that*

$$\mathsf{l}_{(X,\,\mathfrak{x})} \circ (R \otimes X \otimes 1_T) \;=\; (X \otimes 1_T) \circ \mathsf{l}_X.$$

Then, for every (T, A)–bimodule Y, the A-bilinear map

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R \otimes T \otimes X \otimes Y \arrow[r, "R\otimes\mathfrak{x}\otimes T"] \arrow[rrd, dashed, "\mathsf{l}_{X\otimes Y}"'] & R \otimes X \otimes T \otimes Y \arrow[r, "\mathsf{l}_{(X,\,\mathfrak{x})}\otimes Y"] & X \otimes T \otimes Y \arrow[d, "X\otimes \mathsf{l}_Y"] \\
 & & X \otimes Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

define a left $(R \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}} T)$-action which is compatible with the inclusion of R.

Conversely, If $X \otimes_A \mu_T$ preserves equalizers, $- \otimes_A T$ is faithful functor, and $\mathsf{l}_{X\otimes_A T} := (\mathsf{l}_X \otimes_A \mu_T) \circ (R \otimes_A \mathfrak{x} \otimes_A T)$ define a left $(R \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}} T)$-action on $X \otimes_A T$ which is compatible with the inclusion of R for some A–bilinear map $\mathfrak{x} : T \otimes_A X \to X \otimes_A T$ then $(X, \mathfrak{x})$ is a left $(R, \mathfrak{r})$–module with action $\mathsf{l}_{(X,\,\mathfrak{x})} = \mathsf{l}_X \otimes_A T$. In particular $\mathfrak{x}$ is a left module twisting map.

Proof. The unitary property of $\mathsf{l}_{X\otimes Y}$ comes from that of l_Y and of $\mathsf{l}_{(X,\,\mathfrak{x})}$(i.e. the first diagram in Proposition 4.7(ii)). The associativity of l_Y and of $\mathsf{l}_{(X,\,\mathfrak{x})}$(i.e. the second diagram in Proposition 4.7(ii)) lead to that of $\mathsf{l}_{X\otimes Y}$, taking into the account that the structure maps of the wreath $(R, \mathfrak{r})$ are $1_R \otimes_A T$ and $\mu_R \otimes_A T$. The proof of the reciprocate implication is lifted to the reader. □

The right version of Proposition 4.9 is expressed in the monoidal category $\mathscr{L}_{(A:\,R)}$ using the R-wreath $(\mathfrak{r}, T)$. If we combine both versions then we get a criterion on $R \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}} T$-bimodules of the form $X \otimes_A Y$ as in [7, Proposition 3.13].

Proposition 4.10. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{r})$ and $(\mathfrak{r}, T)$, respectively, the T-wreath and R-wreath of subsection 4.2. Given X an R-bimodule, and V a T-bimodule with actions l_X, r_X and l_V, r_V. Assume that $(X, \mathfrak{x})$ is also a left $(R, \mathfrak{r})$-module and $(\mathfrak{v}, V)$ a right $(\mathfrak{r}, T)$-module with actions, respectively, $\mathsf{l}_{(X,\mathfrak{x})}$ and $\mathsf{l}_{(\mathfrak{v},V)}$, and consider the maps*

$$\mathsf{l}_{X\otimes_A V} \;=\; (M \otimes_A \mathsf{l}_V) \circ (\mathsf{l}_{(X,\,\mathfrak{x})} \otimes_A V) \circ (R \otimes_A \mathfrak{x} \otimes_A V), \quad \mathsf{r}_{X\otimes_A V} \;=\; (\mathsf{r}_X \otimes_A V) \circ (X \otimes_A \mathsf{r}_{(\mathfrak{v},V)}) \circ (X \otimes_A \mathfrak{v} \otimes_A T)$$

If $X \otimes_A V$ *is* $(R \otimes_A T)$*-bimodule with action* $\mathsf{l}_{X\otimes_A V}$ *and* $\mathsf{r}_{X\otimes_A V}$ *then the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T \otimes X \otimes V \otimes R \arrow[r, "\mathfrak{x}\otimes V\otimes R"] \arrow[d, "T\otimes X\otimes \mathfrak{v}"'] & X \otimes T \otimes V \otimes R \arrow[r, "X\otimes \mathsf{l}_V"] & X \otimes V \otimes R \arrow[d, "X\otimes \mathfrak{v}"] \\
T \otimes X \otimes R \otimes V \arrow[d, "T\otimes \mathsf{r}_X\otimes V"'] & & X \otimes R \otimes V \arrow[d, "\mathsf{r}_X\otimes V"] \\
T \otimes X \otimes V \arrow[r, "\mathfrak{x}\otimes V"'] & X \otimes T \otimes V \arrow[r, "X\otimes \mathsf{l}_V"'] & X \otimes V
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.14}$$

This $(R\otimes_A T)$*-biaction on* $X\otimes_A V$ *is left compatible with the inclusion of* R *and right compatible with the inclusion of* T *whenever* $\mathsf{l}_{(X,\,\mathfrak{x})}$ *and* $\mathsf{r}_{(\mathfrak{v},V)}$ *satisfy the equalities* $\mathsf{l}_{(X,\,\mathfrak{x})} \circ (R\otimes_A X \otimes_A 1_T) = (X\otimes_A 1_T)\circ \mathsf{l}_X$ *and* $\mathsf{r}_{(\mathfrak{v},V)} \circ (1_R \otimes_A X \otimes_A T) = (1_R \otimes_A V) \circ \mathsf{r}_Y$*.*

Conversely, if $\mathsf{l}_{(X,\,\mathfrak{x})} = \mathsf{l}_X \otimes_A T$*,* $\mathsf{r}_{(\mathfrak{v},V)} = R \otimes_A \mathsf{r}_V$*, and the diagram of equation* (4.14) *commutes then* $X \otimes_A V$ *is an* $(R \otimes_A T)$*-bimodule.*

Proof. Analogue to that of [7, Proposition 3.13]. □

REFERENCES

[1] J. Beck, *Distributive laws*: In Seminar on Triples and Categorical Homology Theory. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 80, Springer-Verlag, 1969, pp. 119–140.

[2] J. Bénabou, *Introduction to bicategories*: In Report of the Midwest Category Seminar. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 47, Springer-Verlag, 1967, pp. 1–77.

[3] T. Brzeziński, *The structure of corings. Induction functors, Maschke-type theorem, and Frobenius and Galois-type properties*, Algebras Represent. Theory **5** (2002), 389–410.

[4] T. Brzeziński, L. El Kaoutit and J. Gómez-Torrecillas, *The bicategories of corings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **205** (2006), 510-541.

[5] T. Brzeziński and S. Majid, *Coalgebra bundles*, Commun. Math. Phys. **191** (1998), 467–492.

[6] T. Brzeziński and R. Wisbauer, Corings and Comodules. Cambridge University Press, LMS 309, (2003).

[7] A. Čap, H. Schichl and J. Vanžura, *On twisted tensor products of algebras*, Commun. Algebra **23**(1995), 4701–4735.

[8] S. Caenepeel, B. Ion, G. Militaru and S. Zhu, *The factorization problem and the smash biproduct of algberas and coalgebras*, Algebras Represent. Theory, **3** (2002), 19–42.

[9] K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield Jr., *An introduction to noncommutative noetherian rings*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 16, Cambridge University Press, 1989.

[10] J. Gómez-Torrecillas, *Separable functors in corings*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **30** (2002), no. 4, 203–225.

[11] L. El Kaoutit, *Wide Morita contexts in bicategories*, arXiv:math.RA/0608601 (2006).

[12] L. El Kaoutit, J. Gómez-Torrecillas, and F. J. Lobillo, *Semisimple corings*, Algebra Colloq. **11** (2004), no. 4, 427–442.

[13] S. Lack and R. Street, *The formal theory of comonad II*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **175** (2002), 243-265.

[14] J. Lopez, *Connections over twisted tensor products of algebras*, arXiv:math.QA/0610978 (2006).

[15] J.C. McConnell and J.C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian rings*, J. Wiley and Sons, Chichester-New York, 1987.

[16] C. Menini and D. Ştefan, *Descent theory and Amistur cohomology of triples*, J. Algebra **266** (2003), 261–304.

[17] M. E. Sweedler, *The predual theorem to the Jacobson-Bourbaki theorem*, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. **213** (1975), 391–406.

[18] D. Tambara, *The coendomorphism bialgebra of an algebra*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA, Math. **37** (1990), 425–456.

[19] A. Van Daele and S. Van Keer, *The Yang-Baxter and pentagon equation*, Compositio Math. **91** (1994), 201–221.

DEPARTAMENTO DE ÁLGEBRA. FACULTAD DE EDUCACIÓN Y HUMANIDADES DE CEUTA. UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA. EL GRECO Nº 10. E-51002 CEUTA, SPAIN

E-mail address: `kaoutit@ugr.es`